

How Miranda's Direct Gaze Challenges Narrative Distance in the Contemporary British Sitcom

Sarah Lee¹

¹ Cardiff University, Wales, United Kingdom

Correspondence: Sarah Lee, Cardiff University, Wales, United Kingdom.

doi:10.56397/JRSSH.2025.05.04

Abstract

This paper examines how Miranda Hart's use of the direct gaze in the BBC sitcom *Miranda* (2009–2015) challenges conventional narrative distance and redefines gendered performance in British television comedy. Drawing on theories of intermediality, performativity, and feminist media critique, the essay argues that Hart's frequent breaking of the fourth wall does more than generate humor—it constructs an intimate, dialogic relationship with the audience that destabilizes the traditional sitcom format. Through textual analysis of recurring phrases (e.g., "Such fun!"), key scenes of physical comedy, and Hart's embodied self-presentation, the paper explores how the show turns bodily excess, social failure, and romantic awkwardness into tools of feminist subversion. The direct gaze functions not only as a comic device but as a site of narrative authorship and affective solidarity, repositioning the viewer from passive observer to emotional co-conspirator. In doing so, *Miranda* reimagines the sitcom as a medium for emotional realism, feminist agency, and shared vulnerability.

Keywords: British sitcom, direct address, narrative distance, intermediality, fourth wall, embodiment

1. Introduction

The British sitcom *Miranda* (2009–2015), created by and starring Miranda Hart, stands as a distinctive contribution to contemporary television comedy, not merely for its slapstick humor or nostalgic charm, but for its bold formal experimentation. Central to this experimentation is the show's frequent use of direct address—what is often referred to as "breaking the fourth wall"—through which the protagonist, Miranda, looks directly into the camera to speak to or share moments with the audience. This technique, while rooted in older performance traditions like British pantomime, music hall, and even Shakespearean asides, finds new resonance in *Miranda* by destabilizing

the distance typically maintained between viewer and character in sitcom narrative structures.

Unlike traditional British sitcoms such as *Fawlty Towers* or *The Vicar of Dibley*, where the audience is positioned as an external observer of a fictional diegetic world, *Miranda* collapses that boundary, pulling viewers into the affective and comic interiority of the central character. Hart's character doesn't merely perform for the audience; she confides, complains, and conspires with them. The camera, typically an invisible witness in conventional television, becomes an active interlocutor. In doing so, *Miranda* complicates the standard dynamics of narrative distance, replacing detachment with a

direct and often disarming intimacy.

This strategy operates not only as a comic device but also as a form of narrative and authorial control. Miranda's direct gaze invites viewers to enter a shared, ironic understanding of her experience, but it also allows Hart to modulate tone, self-ironize, and pre-empt audience judgment. This active negotiation with the audience repositions Hart not merely as a performer but as a co-narrator and self-reflexive authorial figure. Her gaze is not innocent—it is strategic, shaping the rhythm and direction of narrative interpretation.

Critically, this reflexivity also intersects with broader questions of gender and genre. In subverting the traditional role of the sitcom heroine—typically demure, reactive, and contained—Hart presents a deliberately “unruly” figure: physically awkward, emotionally expressive, and verbally self-aware. Her frequent glances to camera invite the audience not only to laugh at the absurdity of social conventions but also to align themselves with her deviation from them. As such, the direct gaze becomes a site of feminist resistance, contesting norms around performance, visibility, and bodily presence in mainstream television.

This paper therefore explores how *Miranda's* use of the direct gaze fundamentally challenges narrative distance in the contemporary British sitcom. Drawing on theories of intermediality, feminist performance, and television narrative, and with close attention to the formal construction of the show, it argues that Hart's gaze is not merely a stylistic quirk, but a radical gesture—one that invites new ways of seeing, telling, and laughing in the comic tradition.

2. Intermediality and Self-Reflexivity

The concept of *intermediality*, as employed by J. Bucknall-Hołyńska in her analysis of *Miranda*, offers a critical framework for understanding the show's unique aesthetic and narrative structure. *Intermediality* refers to the interaction between different media forms within a single work, where the boundaries between stage, television, and audience-oriented performance become porous (Bucknall-Hołyńska, 2016). In *Miranda*, this intermedial mode manifests most clearly in the show's repeated use of direct address and theatrical convention, where the protagonist actively acknowledges the presence of the audience and the artificiality of the television frame.

Hart's frequent turns to the camera function not simply as comedic asides, but as metatextual commentary. The viewer is constantly reminded that they are watching a show—an act that breaks with the seamless realism expected of the sitcom form. This “intermedial reflexivity” blurs the lines between character and actor, fiction and performance, and even medium and message. Miranda is not only acting within a sitcom but is also—through her glances, gestures, and verbal cues—recasting the sitcom itself as a performance space akin to the theatre, or even to stand-up comedy, where audience engagement is a fundamental part of the comic rhythm.

This intermedial play serves several narrative and thematic purposes. It creates a double consciousness in the viewer: while we are immersed in the narrative of Miranda's romantic misadventures and social faux pas, we are also continually aware of the constructedness of those situations. This dual awareness produces a complex comic effect, inviting laughter that is both diegetic (rooted in the fictional world) and extra-diegetic (based on formal awareness). In other words, we laugh not just at *what* happens to Miranda, but at *how* the story is being told.

The intermedial structure reclaims narrative agency for Hart as both character and creator. As she literally and figuratively steps out of the narrative to speak directly to us, Hart asserts her position as the orchestrator of the comic space. This is particularly significant given the historical marginalization of female voices in comedy. Rather than being merely the subject of comic misunderstanding, Hart becomes a commentator on the conditions of her own performance. The reflexivity of *Miranda* is thus both aesthetic and political: it makes visible the power structures embedded in the act of storytelling and invites the viewer to question them.

The show's intermediality repositions the audience's role. Unlike traditional sitcoms that enforce a voyeuristic stance—viewers watching characters unaware of being watched—*Miranda* treats its audience as active participants in the comic process. Hart's eye contact and commentary do not just deliver punchlines; they create complicity, intimacy, and trust. The television screen becomes a permeable boundary, with Miranda occupying both the fictional world of the sitcom and the real world of audience engagement.

This formal strategy links *Miranda* to a broader lineage of British comedy that includes the self-referential performances of Eric Morecambe, the subversive commentary of *The Young Ones*, and the theatricality of pantomime. However, what distinguishes Hart's approach is the specificity of her intermedial technique: it is explicitly grounded in personal narrative, bodily presence, and gendered performance. Her use of intermediality is not just stylistic—it is a method of identity negotiation and comic authorship.

The intermedial and self-reflexive features of *Miranda* do more than innovate sitcom form; they offer a mode of performative authorship that reclaims narrative from passive consumption. Through these strategies, Hart not only tells stories but interrogates the conditions under which those stories are told and received—transforming the sitcom into a site of both comedy and critique.

3. Disruption of Narrative Distance

The traditional sitcom structure depends heavily on maintaining a stable diegetic boundary: the world of the show remains internally coherent, unbroken by acknowledgment of the audience's presence. In *Miranda*, this boundary is not only violated but deliberately dismantled. The result is what Fran Gray describes as a sitcom that is "perhaps the most camera-aware" in British television history, due to its unapologetic, frequent use of direct address (Gray, 2012). Miranda's engagement with the camera invites the audience into a privileged narrative position that transforms the sitcom's comedic effect from observational to conspiratorial.

In traditional narrative theory, narrative distance refers to the psychological or emotional space between the narrator and the audience or between the audience and the characters. This space is typically preserved through representational techniques that maintain the illusion of an autonomous fictional world. *Miranda*, by contrast, collapses this distance by allowing its protagonist to speak directly to the viewer, share private reactions, or preemptively comment on unfolding events. These "gazes" become sites of narrative intimacy, establishing a dialogic structure that flattens the hierarchy between viewer and character. The result is a comedic mode built less on situational irony and more on shared awareness.

What makes this strategy especially potent is how it shifts the viewer's role. The audience is

no longer a voyeur but a participant. As Miranda turns to the camera during moments of awkwardness, triumph, or emotional vulnerability, she offers the audience not only a laugh but a tacit understanding: "You see what I'm dealing with, don't you?" These moments of mutual recognition are foundational to the show's charm and function as structural interventions in narrative form. They foster an affective relationship that would be impossible under traditional sitcom logic, where the audience is kept at arm's length.

The frequent breaking of the fourth wall also introduces temporal layering. Each glance to the audience brings with it a sense of presentness that contradicts the fictional timeline of the show. Miranda's awareness of being watched injects the "now" of performance into the "then" of narrative, creating a hybrid temporality where viewers are both watching a story and witnessing its construction in real-time. This simultaneity erodes the boundaries between performer and character, heightening the show's self-reflexivity and further destabilizing narrative distance.

Crucially, the disruption of narrative distance in *Miranda* is not a gimmick—it is integral to the show's ethos. Miranda Hart's comedy thrives on a politics of awkwardness, vulnerability, and emotional honesty. Her direct gazes function as mechanisms for emotional alignment: the audience is invited into her internal monologue, made complicit in her social failures, and offered insight into the comic pain of not fitting in. This closeness redefines the limits of audience-character engagement in the sitcom form.

In this way, *Miranda* stands in contrast to other contemporary sitcoms that maintain a polished, immersive distance between the viewer and the screen. Whereas series like *The IT Crowd* or *Outnumbered* create comedy through observational detachment, *Miranda* collapses this detachment into shared experience. The comedy is not about watching someone else fail, but about failing together—and laughing at the absurdity of that shared condition.

The disruption of narrative distance, then, is not merely a formal quirk of *Miranda*. It is a deliberate and effective reimagining of the relationship between narrative and audience, where the gaze is not a rupture but a thread—one that binds viewer and performer in

a uniquely comic communion.

4. Gender and Performance

Miranda Hart's performance in *Miranda* does far more than elicit laughter; it initiates a fundamental challenge to the gendered norms of television comedy. Central to this challenge is her use of direct address, a technique that allows her to simultaneously inhabit and critique the role of the female protagonist. Rebecca White observes that Hart's direct gaze is not only entertaining but assertive, enabling her to retain authorship over her character's image even as she blurs the boundary between her fictional persona and real-life self (White, 2015).

Hart constructs a comic femininity that is openly flawed, physically awkward, romantically unsuccessful, and emotionally exposed—qualities that resist the polished image of the conventional sitcom heroine. Instead of concealing these “deficiencies,” Hart foregrounds them through performance, self-commentary, and meta-humor. This becomes most visible in the way she frames her own body. In one scene, after failing to squeeze into a tight-fitting dress, she looks straight at the camera and quips:

“I don't think Spanx were made for the Valkyrie.”

The joke operates on multiple levels: it satirizes cultural pressures on women to mold their bodies into slim silhouettes, while the reference to Norse mythology simultaneously reclaims her physicality as strong and majestic—even if incongruously so in the context of a date-night outfit.

Her frequent use of the phrase “Such fun!”, often delivered after a complete social failure, functions as both ironic self-deprecation and subversive commentary. For example, after knocking over an entire dessert tray in front of a romantic interest, she turns to the audience and brightly exclaims:

“Such fun!”

Here, the phrase mocks the expectation that women must remain cheerful, poised, and agreeable regardless of circumstances. Hart transforms this into a performative tic—a catchphrase that satirizes compulsory femininity while offering a comic survival mechanism.

Textual moments like “Is it just me?”—uttered directly to the camera in moments of social awkwardness—further deepen the gender

critique. They establish a dialogic relationship with the viewer that destabilizes the isolation traditionally felt by female characters who fail to conform. By sharing her perceived inadequacy in real time, Hart refuses to internalize shame. Instead, she externalizes it and reframes it as a collective observation. This challenges the genre's reliance on female characters as objects of judgment, and repositions Miranda as a comic subject with agency and perspective.

These strategies intersect with Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity. Hart is not performing a “natural” femininity but staging its failure and instability. Her body is loud, clumsy, and expansive—qualities that violate the discipline of gender decorum and instead align with Bakhtin's notion of the grotesque body as a site of resistance and rebirth. One especially potent example is when Miranda attempts yoga in a crowded studio, flailing through poses while loudly announcing:

“I feel like a windsock in a hurricane!”

Her comedic exaggeration transforms bodily incapacity into a mode of protest: she fails to contain herself, and in doing so, draws attention to how femininity is a constraining physical performance.

Miranda's discomfort in romantic situations offers insight into how the show subverts the heterosexual script. She often pursues love but constantly derails her chances through awkwardness and over-eagerness. In one such moment, preparing for a dinner date, she nervously rehearses small talk before turning to the camera:

“He's seen me in gym leggings. It's over before it's begun.”

Rather than glamorizing the pursuit of male validation, the line undercuts the romantic fantasy with self-aware realism. Her humor becomes a mechanism not to win the man, but to win the viewer's trust—building solidarity through shared recognition of performative absurdity.

Hart's direct address functions as a feminist tactic. It grants her the narrative authority to frame her own body, failures, and experiences, challenging dominant media gazes that reduce women to either romantic prizes or comic relief. Through this gaze, Hart invites the viewer not to laugh at her, but with her—and more crucially, at the social norms that make her comedy

necessary.

5. The Comedic Intimacy of the Gaze

Among the many formal strategies that define *Miranda*, none is more foundational than the protagonist's habitual gaze to the camera. These glances—winks, grimaces, eyebrow raises, and deadpan stares—form a distinct narrative grammar that reconfigures audience engagement. As Anne Clayton describes, these are not simply “instinctive turns” that break the fourth wall, but intentional gestures that transform the audience from distant observers into emotional co-conspirators (Clayton, 2019). The comedic power of *Miranda* is thus deeply relational: it is not just performed but shared.

This emotional intimacy is enacted most clearly in scenes of acute embarrassment or social failure. In one representative moment, Miranda slips on the pavement in front of a romantic interest, lands flat on the ground, and pauses. She then slowly lifts her head, looks directly into the camera, and mutters:

“Well, that was graceful.”

This moment fuses physical comedy with metacommentary. The gaze acknowledges her humiliation, but reclaims it by involving the audience in its absurdity. Rather than being the object of our detached laughter, she becomes the narrator of her own fall—a gesture that invites empathy and recognition. The joke, then, is not just about falling down; it is about how life constantly undermines the polished scripts we're expected to perform.

This kind of moment recurs throughout the series, forming a structure of emotional alignment. The classic example appears in an early episode when Miranda, after yet another failed attempt at flirtation, turns to the camera and sighs:

“I'm not good with people. Or eye contact. Or decisions. Or—just everything, really.”

Here, the comic rhythm of escalating self-deprecation is undercut by the vulnerable gaze. She is not performing for applause; she is confiding in the viewer. The intimacy created is not merely a comedic effect—it is affective. Viewers do not simply laugh at Miranda; they recognize themselves in her.

This aligns closely with Lauren Berlant's concept of *intimate publics*—audience communities bound by shared feelings and lived experience. Miranda's frequent looks to the camera serve to

foster such a public. By breaking narrative immersion and revealing the raw emotional subtext of everyday moments, she transforms her sitcom into a platform for emotional realism. Her direct address is not just narratively disruptive—it is emotionally reparative.

These moments often rely on the synchrony of text (what she says) and body (how she moves) to amplify intimacy. In an episode where Miranda is attempting to impress a crush at the gym, she becomes tangled in resistance bands, falls off a treadmill, and lands in a heap. Rather than cutting to the next scene, the camera lingers as she looks up, adjusts her hair, and delivers a simple:

“Nailed it.”

The humor here lies in the obvious contradiction between the visual failure and verbal bravado, but the gaze again turns it into a joke not about her incompetence, but about our collective attempts to maintain dignity in undignified moments. These are the kinds of moments that form *recognition humor*—a key component of contemporary feminist comedy, where laughter arises not from superiority but from shared vulnerability.

The direct gaze undermines traditional comic distance. In shows like *The Office*, the humor stems from awkwardness and audience detachment. In *Miranda*, that detachment is collapsed. The audience is implicated in the narrative, not simply watching Miranda's world unfold, but being drawn into her emotional interior. This affective contract—grounded in shared looks, emotional asides, and unfiltered confession—renders the comedy participatory. Miranda's world is not just shown; it is felt.

Miranda Hart's use of the gaze is central to her comedy's emotional architecture. It disrupts narrative immersion not to break the story but to build a relationship. Through small gestures and well-timed lines—“Well, that was graceful,” “Such fun,” or “Just me?”—she reorients the sitcom toward a feminist, relational model of storytelling where laughter is grounded in recognition, solidarity, and shared imperfection.

6. Embodiment and Transgression

Miranda Hart's body—tall, broad-shouldered, clumsy, and unapologetically physical—is not merely an element of her comedic persona; it is a central axis around which the politics of *Miranda* revolve. The sitcom foregrounds Hart's physical

difference from the normative ideals of femininity typically valorized in British television, turning what might conventionally be framed as a lack—of grace, beauty, or sexual desirability—into a site of comic strength and cultural critique. As B. O’Leary compellingly argues, Hart mobilizes her “unruly body” as both comic spectacle and feminist intervention (O’Leary, 2016).

The transgressive power of Hart’s embodiment becomes especially vivid in conjunction with her frequent use of direct address. Her body is not observed passively, framed by the gaze of others, but instead actively performed and interpreted for the audience. Through the direct gaze, Hart insists on controlling how her body is seen. She comments on its size, gestures, and failings before others can, transforming vulnerability into agency. This self-aware framing converts physical awkwardness into a tool of resistance against both narrative and societal norms.

Importantly, this performance of bodily excess does not seek to neutralize or normalize the body through refinement. Instead, Hart draws attention to its unruliness—she flails, falls, squats, lunges, and stretches across the frame in ways that violate the constrained, graceful comportment traditionally expected of women on screen. In this, her comedy echoes theories of the grotesque body articulated by Mikhail Bakhtin, where bodily exaggeration, openness, and defiance become acts of rebellion against closed systems of control and decorum. The physical comedy in *Miranda*—falling into bins, failing at yoga, awkwardly navigating tight clothing—does more than generate laughter; it destabilizes the disciplinary codes of femininity.

Hart’s frequent references to her own body within the diegesis further emphasize this self-framing. She routinely jokes about being a “giant,” being mistaken for a man, or towering over romantic interests. These comments, made often via direct address, perform a dual function: they acknowledge and disarm potential audience judgments while also undermining the cultural authority of those judgments. The laughter these moments provoke is not directed at Hart’s failure to embody femininity, but at the absurdity of femininity’s narrow social script.

This transgressive performance is not limited to the physical but extends to the emotional and

social expectations attached to female behavior. Hart’s character is emotionally open to the point of embarrassment; she cries, panics, over-shares, and admits her desires and anxieties in real time. These disclosures, when accompanied by the direct gaze, become intimate affirmations of personhood that stand in direct contrast to the sitcom trope of the emotionally restrained woman. They also align with a feminist ethics of care and vulnerability, in which strength is found not in stoicism but in authenticity and relational honesty.

Hart’s embodiment contests the sexual scripts that typically define female characters in romantic narratives. Miranda is not cast as the seductive heroine or the asexual best friend, but as something else entirely: a woman whose sexual desire is frequently articulated but seldom fulfilled. This in-betweenness—the continual negotiation between visibility and invisibility, desire and denial—renders her comic persona profoundly subversive. She is neither the object nor the reward in the romantic arc; she is its disoriented, self-aware, and often disappointed center. This ambiguity, far from weakening the show’s coherence, enhances its richness by resisting resolution into traditional gender roles.

Finally, Hart’s comedic transgressions can be situated within a broader genealogy of British female comedians who have used the body as a site of subversion—from Victoria Wood to Jo Brand and French & Saunders. But while those predecessors often worked within sketch or stand-up formats, *Miranda* brings this mode into a narrative sitcom space traditionally dominated by male protagonists. It turns the single female comic body into the narrative engine of a prime-time series, with the direct gaze anchoring its comic and political energy.

Miranda’s transgressive embodiment—foregrounded and empowered by Hart’s control of the gaze—constitutes a deliberate and joyful challenge to normative femininity. Her performance does not ask for permission to be seen; it insists on being seen on her own terms. Through this mode of embodied comedy, *Miranda* does not merely represent a woman out of place—it celebrates a woman who makes a new kind of place, one that is awkward, hilarious, and radically her own.

7. Conclusion

In *Miranda*, the act of looking—of breaking the

fourth wall and directly engaging the viewer—is neither a gimmick nor a mere stylistic embellishment. It is, instead, a radical narrative strategy that reconfigures the foundations of the British sitcom form. Miranda Hart’s consistent use of the direct gaze operates across multiple registers: formally, it collapses narrative distance; emotionally, it cultivates an unprecedented level of comedic intimacy; politically, it subverts gendered norms of visibility, performance, and authorship. What emerges is not just a show that is funny, but one that uses its comedic structure to critique the very systems in which television comedy has historically been embedded.

At the level of narrative, the direct gaze transforms the sitcom from a representational medium into a relational one. The viewer is no longer a distant spectator but an acknowledged presence, drawn into the protagonist’s interior life through eye contact, confession, and complicity. This shift in viewer-character dynamics creates a hybrid space—part performance, part conversation—in which comedy is not merely witnessed but co-produced. Hart’s performance thus becomes dialogic: every joke, every awkward pause, every bodily failure is filtered through a shared understanding that the audience is not just watching the story unfold, but is emotionally embedded within it.

This intimate relationship is inseparable from the show’s feminist undercurrents. By centering a protagonist who is physically atypical, socially awkward, romantically unsuccessful, and emotionally transparent, *Miranda* challenges the sanitized, polished femininity often demanded by mainstream television. And crucially, by giving this character not only a voice but a gaze—a direct, knowing, and self-authorized gaze—the series undermines the traditional power imbalance between viewer and viewed, between subject and object. Hart’s ability to control the terms of her visibility destabilizes the male gaze that so often governs female representation on screen, replacing it with what we might call a “reclaiming gaze”: one that is self-aware, humorous, and radically humanizing.

The series disrupts genre conventions by infusing a highly theatrical, intermedial sensibility into the sitcom format. Drawing from pantomime, sketch, stand-up, and classical theatre, *Miranda* becomes a site of genre

hybridity where boundaries between performance modes are deliberately blurred. This fluidity extends beyond aesthetics to narrative form, inviting audiences to reflect on the nature of storytelling itself—who gets to tell stories, how they are told, and to whom they are addressed.

The gaze, then, is the central device through which *Miranda* articulates its comic and cultural politics. It is a gesture of inclusion, an invitation to laugh with rather than at, and a rejection of traditional sitcom detachment. Through this device, Hart cultivates a space in which awkwardness becomes endearing, failure becomes resistance, and comedy becomes a tool for emotional connection and social critique.

In this way, *Miranda* reimagines the sitcom not as a closed, repetitious loop of situational humor, but as an open, participatory structure grounded in vulnerability, authorship, and shared humanity. It offers a model for future narrative comedy that is not only more inclusive but also more intimate—where the act of looking is not about objectification but recognition, not surveillance but solidarity.

Through its performative innovation and affective generosity, *Miranda* stands as a landmark in the evolution of British television comedy—a series that makes us laugh, not from a distance, but from within the messy, joyful, self-aware spaces we share with its protagonist.

References

- Bucknall-Hołyńska, J. (2016). *Intermediality in Miranda Hart's performance*. Cultural and Literary Studies – CEEOL. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=566559>
- Clayton, A. (2019). *Comedy, performance and the panel show*. University of Bristol Research Repository. https://research-information.bris.ac.uk/files/199056490/CLAYTON_Comedy_Performance_and_the_Panel_Show.pdf
- Dove-Viebahn, A. (2024). Controlling the narrative, examining the self: The unruly femme subjectivity of *Fleabag*. *Sexualities*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13634607231157072>
- Gale, M. B., & Gardner, V. (2000). *Women, theatre and performance: New histories, new historiographies*. Routledge. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=gVat9Wn6ng4C>

- Gray, F. (2012). Cameras, reality and *Miranda*. *Comedy Studies*, 3(2), 191–200. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1386/cost.3.2.191_1
- Hart, M. (Writer, Creator, & Star). (2009–2015). *Miranda* [TV series]. BBC.
- McGurl, M. (2005). She's her own man: Women in cross-gender theatrical performance. ProQuest Dissertations. <https://search.proquest.com/openview/5744e4f2bd48ca766edef985650e61da>
- O'Leary, B. (2016). Upwardly female: The excessive/transgressive bodies of tall women on television (Master's thesis). Concordia University Repository. <https://spectrum.library.concordia.ca/id/eprint/980976/>
- White, R. (2015). *Miranda and Miranda: Feminism, femininity and performance*. In R. Moseley & H. Wheatley (Eds.), *Feminism and popular culture: Investigating the postfeminist mystique* (pp. 89–106). Palgrave Macmillan. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1057/9781137492852_6?pdf=chapter%20toc