

# Revisiting Neurological Disorders Through the Lens of Excitation–Inhibition Balance

M. T. Dominguez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain

Correspondence: M. T. Dominguez, Universidad de Zaragoza, Spain.

doi:10.56397/CRMS.2025.11.04

## Abstract

The balance between excitation and inhibition (E/I balance) is a core principle of neural computation and stability. This paper reexamines neurological and psychiatric disorders through the lens of E/I homeostasis, proposing that disruptions in this equilibrium form a shared mechanism underlying diverse clinical manifestations. Integrating evidence from molecular neuroscience, circuit physiology, and computational modeling, the study outlines how synaptic scaling, inhibitory plasticity, and intrinsic excitability sustain neural stability across temporal and spatial scales. Disorders such as autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, and epilepsy are interpreted as distinct outcomes of imbalance within this system, shaped by genetic and environmental convergence. Clinically, altered E/I ratios manifest as cognitive, perceptual, and affective dysfunctions driven by disordered oscillatory coordination. The therapeutic section highlights approaches aimed at restoring balance—ranging from modulation of glutamatergic and GABAergic signaling to non-invasive brain stimulation and neurofeedback training. Conceptually, this framework unifies psychiatric and neurological research within a single systems-level model, viewing mental health as the capacity of neural networks to maintain adaptive equilibrium between excitation and inhibition.

**Keywords:** inhibitory plasticity, cortical circuits, neuropsychiatric disorders, computational psychiatry, neuromodulation

---

## 1. Introduction

The study of the human brain has long been characterized by an effort to reconcile its dual nature: the stability that preserves coherent thought and behavior, and the flexibility that enables learning, adaptation, and creativity. This tension between stability and plasticity depends upon a delicate physiological equilibrium known as the excitation–inhibition (E/I) balance. Within every cortical microcircuit, networks of excitatory pyramidal neurons and inhibitory interneurons interact to maintain a precise ratio

of electrical activity that governs how signals propagate and how patterns of neural firing encode perception, cognition, and emotion. When this equilibrium is perturbed, even slightly, the effects can cascade across functional networks, leading to cognitive dysfunctions and behavioral pathologies that define many neurological and psychiatric disorders. The E/I balance therefore represents not only a physiological parameter but a conceptual lens through which to reinterpret the origins and organization of brain dysfunction.

Understanding E/I balance requires attention to both its mechanistic and theoretical dimensions. The human cortex operates on a principle of reciprocal modulation: excitatory neurons drive activity across circuits, while inhibitory neurons constrain, synchronize, and refine this activity. The interplay between these forces creates what R. Tatti et al. (2017) describe as a dynamic equilibrium, where each neuron's response reflects the sum of excitatory drives and inhibitory controls. This balance allows neural systems to remain robust against random fluctuations while preserving sensitivity to meaningful stimuli. Deviations from this equilibrium can distort information processing at multiple scales, from the timing of individual spikes to the coordination of large-scale brain networks.

At the computational level, maintaining E/I balance is essential for neural coding and plasticity. Modeling studies by Murray and Wang (2018) have shown that the brain's capacity for working memory, decision making, and sensory integration depends on precise inhibitory feedback that stabilizes recurrent excitation. In their framework, psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia can be understood as disorders of circuit-level computation, where the breakdown of inhibitory control leads to unstable attractor states in cortical networks. This view moves beyond localized pathology and suggests that many psychiatric symptoms emerge from system-wide disruptions in computational balance.

The biological foundation of this balance rests on the orchestration of synaptic transmission and homeostatic plasticity. Excitatory transmission, primarily mediated by glutamate, provides the driving force of neural activity, while inhibitory signaling, largely governed by GABAergic interneurons, fine-tunes excitatory spread through feedforward and feedback loops. Plasticity mechanisms continuously adjust the strength of these synapses to preserve overall network stability. Froemke (2015) highlights that this regulatory process operates across temporal scales, from rapid short-term plasticity to slower homeostatic adaptations that recalibrate neuronal gain. The failure of these mechanisms leads to chronic hyperexcitability or hypoactivity, both of which can underpin disease progression.

At a broader theoretical level, the E/I balance framework offers a bridge between molecular

neurobiology and clinical phenomenology. Traditional neuroscience has often separated psychiatric and neurological disorders into distinct categories, but the E/I perspective reveals shared circuit-level vulnerabilities. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) propose that alterations in the E/I ratio form a convergent mechanism across conditions such as autism, schizophrenia, and epilepsy. In this framework, diverse genetic or environmental risk factors converge on a limited number of circuit motifs whose dysfunction manifests as different clinical syndromes depending on developmental context and brain region. Autism, for example, is associated with a persistent shift toward excitation, whereas schizophrenia may involve weakened inhibition or impaired synchronization within cortical oscillations. Both reflect disruptions in the same fundamental dynamic.

The notion of E/I imbalance as a unifying concept carries implications beyond descriptive diagnosis. It suggests that cognitive and behavioral symptoms are emergent properties of altered circuit computation rather than direct outcomes of localized damage. Anticevic and Murray (2017) articulate this idea by arguing that altered E/I balance changes the energy landscape of neural computation, affecting how information is integrated and maintained across time. In schizophrenia, such instability could manifest as sensory overload or thought disorganization, while in autism, excessive local excitation might enhance detail-oriented perception at the expense of global integration. The E/I balance thus provides a theoretical grammar through which to reinterpret the diversity of mental phenomena in neurobiological terms.

The homeostatic nature of E/I regulation has profound adaptive and pathological implications. Neural circuits are constantly recalibrating to maintain activity within optimal ranges, a process essential for learning and development. However, this adaptability can also embed maladaptive states. When inhibitory systems are chronically weakened, the brain compensates by downregulating excitatory input or altering synaptic architecture. Over time, these compensations can entrench pathological patterns of activity, creating self-perpetuating loops of dysfunction. This process is evident in studies of cortical plasticity where homeostatic mechanisms that normally

preserve stability become sources of rigidity and impaired adaptability in conditions like autism and chronic stress.

From a developmental perspective, the establishment of E/I balance is a finely timed process. GABAergic signaling, initially excitatory in early development, undergoes a chloride-dependent shift to inhibitory function, shaping the formation of neural circuits. Disruptions in this transition, whether genetic or environmental, can alter the trajectory of brain maturation. Martinez (2024) emphasizes that assessing E/I balance at the network level offers diagnostic potential for neurodevelopmental disorders, as early alterations in cortical excitability can predict later cognitive outcomes. These findings suggest that E/I regulation is not static but an evolving feature of neural development whose disturbances leave enduring imprints on circuit function.

The theoretical reach of E/I balance extends to the question of how global alterations in network coordination translate into localized dysfunction. Anticevic and Lisman (2017) explore how a global increase in excitation can degrade the precision of local computations, leading to perceptual and cognitive distortions. This resonates with the discoordination hypothesis proposed by Fenton (2015), which posits that failures of coordination between neural assemblies, rather than deficits in individual neurons, underlie mental dysfunctions. E/I imbalance provides a physiological substrate for such discoordination, linking cellular mechanisms to emergent system-level pathology.

In contemporary neuroscience, the E/I framework has also reshaped the understanding of neurotransmitter systems. Altered cortical inhibition interacts with dopaminergic dysregulation in schizophrenia, suggesting that psychotic symptoms arise not from a single neurochemical deficit but from the interplay between excitation–inhibition dynamics and neuromodulatory control (Howes & Shatalina, 2022). This integration of neurodevelopmental and neurochemical models marks a step toward a more unified neuropsychiatric theory that accounts for both microcircuit abnormalities and macroscopic network dysfunctions.

Revisiting neurological disorders through the concept of excitation–inhibition balance therefore redefines how we conceptualize

disease mechanisms. It replaces the search for discrete lesions with an appreciation of dynamic relational processes. The brain becomes a system whose pathologies reflect quantitative distortions of normal physiological principles rather than categorical abnormalities. This shift aligns neuroscience with systems biology and network theory, encouraging the development of therapeutic interventions that aim not to suppress symptoms but to restore the fundamental equilibrium of neural computation.

## 2. Theoretical Foundations of Excitation–Inhibition Balance

The equilibrium between excitation and inhibition is one of the most profound organizing principles in neuroscience. It represents not only a structural or synaptic feature of the nervous system but also a dynamic computational law governing neural stability, adaptability, and efficiency. The theoretical framework of excitation–inhibition (E/I) balance describes how populations of neurons maintain coordinated patterns of activity despite the potential for runaway excitation or silencing. This concept has evolved from a purely physiological observation to a fundamental paradigm that connects molecular events, circuit computations, and cognitive function.

### 2.1 The Nature of Cortical Equilibrium

At the cellular level, excitatory and inhibitory neurons maintain a constant dialogue that defines the operational regime of cortical circuits. Excitatory neurons, primarily pyramidal cells, drive the propagation of signals through glutamatergic transmission. Inhibitory interneurons, often GABAergic in nature, constrain and sculpt these excitatory flows to ensure that neural representations remain precise and temporally synchronized. The work of Tatti et al. (2017) emphasizes that the cortex operates near a state of balanced amplification, where excitatory drive and inhibitory feedback are tightly matched. This balance permits rapid responses to external stimuli without compromising overall stability. The ratio between excitation and inhibition is not fixed but adjusts continuously as the brain transitions between states of rest, attention, and learning.

In theoretical models of neural dynamics, balance emerges from the interplay of recurrent connections. When excitatory neurons increase their firing rates, inhibitory interneurons

respond proportionally to suppress excessive activity. This mutual regulation ensures that mean network activity remains bounded while preserving the capacity for transient fluctuations. The balanced state described by Wolf et al. (2014) and Ebsch and Rosenbaum (2018) demonstrates that even small deviations in E/I coupling can shift the entire circuit into pathological regimes such as hyperexcitability or hypoactivity.

## 2.2 *Synaptic Mechanisms and Homeostatic Regulation*

Excitatory and inhibitory synapses undergo continuous plastic changes that preserve the global stability of cortical activity. The principle of homeostatic plasticity serves as a regulatory mechanism through which neurons maintain firing rates within optimal ranges despite environmental variability. When excitatory input increases beyond a certain threshold, inhibitory synapses strengthen to compensate, restoring equilibrium. Conversely, when inhibitory tone dominates, excitatory synaptic efficacy rises to preserve responsiveness. Froemke (2015) argues that this homeostatic balance is not a static constraint but a learning rule embedded within cortical computation. It enables neurons to adaptively recalibrate themselves while preserving the statistical structure of sensory input.

Theoretical and computational studies by Sprekeler (2017) extend this view by proposing that inhibitory plasticity itself functions as a form of error correction. When neuronal activity deviates from an ideal target level, inhibitory synapses adjust their strength to minimize this deviation. This self-organizing process maintains E/I ratios appropriate for different network contexts. It implies that inhibitory circuits act not merely as passive regulators but as active computational agents encoding prediction errors and contributing to efficient sensory representation.

At the level of network topology, inhibitory neurons exhibit diverse morphologies and receptor subtypes that provide multiple temporal scales of control. Fast-spiking parvalbumin-positive interneurons synchronize oscillatory rhythms essential for cognition, while somatostatin-positive interneurons modulate dendritic integration and sensory selectivity. Theoretical analyses suggest that these distinct inhibitory subtypes participate in maintaining localized E/I balance across spatial domains of

the cortex. This multi-layered control permits fine-tuning of both temporal precision and spatial resolution in neural computation, as illustrated by the work of Carcea and Froemke (2013).

## 2.3 *Network Dynamics and Balanced States*

The balance between excitation and inhibition is not only a microscopic phenomenon but also a macroscopic principle governing emergent brain dynamics. In recurrent networks, collective patterns such as oscillations, synchronization, and chaos arise naturally when excitatory and inhibitory populations interact in proportion. Liang, Yang, and Zhou (2025) identify this property as a manifestation of neural criticality, where circuits operate near the edge of stability. At this critical point, the brain maximizes information transmission and sensitivity to inputs, achieving optimal computational capacity. The balanced regime is thus neither purely stable nor unstable but occupies a dynamic midpoint that supports flexible transitions between cognitive states.

From the perspective of dynamical systems theory, balanced networks exhibit asynchronous irregular activity, a pattern where neuronal spikes appear random yet remain statistically constrained. This phenomenon, described by Wolf et al. (2014), reflects the equilibrium between excitatory drive and inhibitory suppression that keeps global activity levels constant while allowing local variability. Theoretical models show that this regime enables large populations of neurons to encode complex, high-dimensional representations without saturating firing capacity.

In decision-making and perception, alterations in E/I balance can bias computations toward either impulsivity or indecision. Lam et al. (2022) demonstrate that in cortical circuit models, an increase in excitation enhances the speed of decision processes at the expense of accuracy, while excess inhibition delays responses and dampens sensitivity. These results imply that behavioral control depends on maintaining a precise dynamic equilibrium between the amplification of relevant signals and the suppression of noise.

## 2.4 *Computational Implications*

The theoretical interpretation of E/I balance extends beyond biological plausibility to the realm of computation. In artificial neural networks, the concept has inspired balanced

network models that achieve efficient learning by stabilizing activity propagation. Biological systems achieve similar optimization through recurrent inhibition, which acts as a constraint on energy expenditure and information redundancy. Murray and Wang (2018) explore how disruptions in this equilibrium lead to breakdowns in working memory and cognitive stability. Their findings indicate that inhibitory control determines the dimensionality of neural representations, influencing both storage capacity and robustness against interference.

At the same time, E/I balance provides a theoretical explanation for the coexistence of stability and adaptability in the brain. Neural networks must remain resistant to small perturbations while retaining the flexibility to reorganize under significant changes. Balanced inhibition ensures that networks do not settle into rigid attractor states, preserving responsiveness to novel information. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) interpret this as a hallmark of healthy cortical computation, where the brain continuously negotiates between order and chaos.

The emergence of balance also reflects a form of self-organized optimization. Computational frameworks suggest that neural systems evolve toward states that minimize prediction errors while conserving energy. Inhibitory circuits contribute to this optimization by dynamically adjusting gain and synchrony, aligning network output with environmental statistics. This interpretation connects the physiological notion of E/I balance with broader theories of predictive coding and free energy minimization.

### *2.5 Theoretical Integration Across Scales*

The study of excitation and inhibition encompasses multiple organizational levels, from synaptic kinetics to global brain states. Theoretical integration across these scales remains a major challenge in contemporary neuroscience. One influential framework links E/I balance to hierarchical processing in the cortex. At lower sensory levels, local inhibitory feedback ensures fidelity and contrast enhancement, while at higher cognitive levels, long-range inhibition supports selective attention and working memory maintenance. Theoretical modeling shows that perturbations in one level can propagate across the hierarchy, destabilizing global dynamics.

In this integrative view, the E/I balance acts as a

universal control parameter governing the brain's transition between functional states. Shifts toward excitation correlate with heightened sensitivity and creativity but also vulnerability to noise and instability, whereas increased inhibition enhances precision and control but may suppress flexibility. These trade-offs define the boundaries of mental performance and pathology alike. The interplay between excitatory and inhibitory control thus provides a continuum model of brain function rather than a binary distinction between normal and disordered states.

### **3. E/I Imbalance in Neurodevelopmental and Psychiatric Disorders**

The concept of excitation–inhibition imbalance has become one of the most integrative frameworks in contemporary neuroscience for understanding the origins of complex brain disorders. In neurodevelopmental and psychiatric conditions such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD), schizophrenia, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), disturbances in the balance between excitatory and inhibitory neural activity have been proposed as a common mechanistic substrate underlying cognitive, perceptual, and emotional dysfunctions. The E/I ratio defines how neural circuits regulate their gain, synchronize oscillatory rhythms, and preserve network coherence. When this balance shifts toward excitation or inhibition, neural computations lose precision, information processing becomes unstable, and large-scale brain connectivity patterns begin to fragment. These disruptions can emerge early in neurodevelopment and persist into adulthood, shaping the behavioral and cognitive phenotypes characteristic of psychiatric illness.

#### *3.1 Excitation–Inhibition Balance as a Developmental Principle*

The establishment of E/I balance begins early in neural development. During the formation of cortical circuits, excitatory glutamatergic neurons and inhibitory GABAergic interneurons form reciprocal connections that stabilize spontaneous activity. This process enables critical periods of sensory and cognitive maturation. Studies such as those by Canitano and Pallagrosi (2017) describe how alterations in synaptic development or neurotransmitter expression can cause persistent shifts in E/I ratios that distort cortical map formation. When

inhibitory networks mature too slowly or remain underdeveloped, excitatory synapses dominate early sensory processing, creating a cascade of maladaptive plasticity. The developmental timing of this imbalance appears critical, as early disruptions may permanently alter the trajectory of network connectivity and oscillatory dynamics.

In the healthy brain, inhibitory interneurons—particularly those expressing parvalbumin—coordinate gamma oscillations essential for sensory integration and working memory. Their maturation is highly experience-dependent and influenced by factors such as neurotrophins, synaptic activity, and metabolic homeostasis. In ASD and schizophrenia, evidence suggests that GABAergic circuits fail to reach full functional maturity, leading to reduced cortical synchronization and atypical responses to environmental stimuli (Gao & Penzes, 2015). This developmental delay in inhibitory control contributes to the formation of atypical perceptual experiences and cognitive inflexibility observed across diagnostic categories.

### 3.2 E/I Imbalance in Autism Spectrum Disorders

Autism spectrum disorder provides one of the clearest examples of an E/I imbalance model. Neural hyperexcitability has been repeatedly observed in both animal models and human studies. At the synaptic level, increased glutamatergic transmission and reduced GABAergic inhibition create cortical circuits that respond excessively to sensory input. The result is enhanced local processing and impaired long-range integration, a pattern consistent with the behavioral features of ASD such as heightened sensory sensitivity and deficits in social communication (Uzunova, Pallanti, & Hollander, 2016).

Neuroimaging and electrophysiological studies demonstrate that individuals with ASD exhibit increased excitation-related markers, including elevated glutamate–glutamine ratios and hyperconnectivity within sensory networks. These alterations are accompanied by reduced GABA concentrations and diminished inhibitory receptor expression in key cortical regions. The combination of excessive excitation and weak inhibition creates a functional state characterized by over-responsiveness to irrelevant stimuli and diminished filtering of

background noise. This imbalance also affects neural oscillations: gamma-band synchronization, a hallmark of coordinated cortical computation, is consistently reduced in ASD, indicating impaired inhibitory control of network timing.

The genetic underpinnings of ASD reveal multiple points of convergence on E/I regulation. Mutations in genes encoding synaptic scaffolding proteins such as SHANK3, neuroligins, and neurexins disrupt the organization of excitatory and inhibitory synapses, altering signal transmission across cortical layers. Mouse models with deletions of these genes show exaggerated excitatory responses, seizure susceptibility, and behavioral traits analogous to human autism. Pharmacological attempts to restore inhibitory tone using GABA agonists or modulators of glutamatergic transmission have shown partial success in animal studies and early clinical trials (Pietropaolo & Provenzano, 2022). These findings suggest that targeting E/I homeostasis may represent a unifying therapeutic strategy for ASD and related conditions.

At the circuit level, hyperexcitability in ASD may not only reflect a quantitative imbalance but also qualitative alterations in circuit dynamics. The abnormal spatial distribution of excitation and inhibition across cortical layers changes how information flows between sensory and associative regions. This reorganization impairs the integration of social and emotional cues, reinforcing repetitive behavioral patterns. The E/I imbalance thus provides a mechanistic explanation for the combination of enhanced perceptual detail and impaired social cognition that defines autism's paradoxical symptom profile.

### 3.3 E/I Imbalance in Schizophrenia

In schizophrenia, the E/I imbalance takes a distinct form characterized by inhibitory deficits and impaired coordination of cortical oscillations. The disorder is strongly associated with reduced function of parvalbumin-positive interneurons, leading to a breakdown of gamma synchrony and cognitive disorganization. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) emphasize that these inhibitory neurons act as temporal regulators, aligning excitatory firing patterns across populations of pyramidal cells. When inhibitory precision is lost, cortical computations become noisy and unstable, producing perceptual

distortions and fragmented thought processes.

Molecular studies reveal that schizophrenia involves widespread dysfunction of the GABAergic system. Reduced expression of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67), the enzyme responsible for GABA synthesis, has been documented in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of affected individuals. This deficit weakens inhibitory feedback loops that normally constrain excitatory activity, leading to aberrant cortical rhythms. Functional imaging studies corroborate these findings by showing increased baseline excitability and reduced task-related activation in key cognitive regions.

The link between E/I imbalance and dopaminergic dysregulation adds another layer of complexity. The classic dopamine hypothesis of schizophrenia posits that psychotic symptoms arise from hyperactivity in dopaminergic pathways. Recent integrative models suggest that this dopaminergic imbalance may itself result from upstream cortical E/I disruption. When inhibitory control in prefrontal circuits diminishes, the resulting hyperexcitation alters subcortical feedback to dopaminergic neurons, producing excessive dopamine release and reinforcing aberrant salience attribution (Howes & Shatalina, 2022).

The computational consequences of E/I imbalance in schizophrenia have been explored through neural network models. Simulations by Anticevic and Murray (2017) show that reductions in inhibitory conductance can destabilize attractor states within working memory circuits, resulting in spontaneous transitions between unrelated thoughts or percepts. These models capture the clinical phenomenon of cognitive fragmentation and hallucinations, translating molecular pathology into observable cognitive symptoms.

The developmental origins of inhibitory dysfunction in schizophrenia are also a subject of growing interest. Neurodevelopmental trajectories marked by oxidative stress, inflammation, and synaptic pruning abnormalities may weaken interneuron populations before adulthood. The convergence of genetic risk factors, such as mutations in DISC1 or NRG1, with environmental stressors like hypoxia or infection, can amplify these vulnerabilities (Liu et al., 2021). The resulting network instability during adolescence, a critical period for cortical maturation, sets the stage for

the onset of psychotic symptoms.

### 3.4 Shared Mechanisms and Overlapping Dimensions

Although ASD and schizophrenia are clinically distinct, they share striking similarities in their underlying neural architecture. Both involve disrupted gamma oscillations, aberrant cortical connectivity, and synaptic irregularities that disturb E/I equilibrium. Gao and Penzes (2015) describe how the two disorders can be viewed as points on a continuum of network instability: ASD reflects hyperconnectivity and excessive excitation, while schizophrenia represents hypoconnectivity and inhibitory loss. This model suggests that the direction of imbalance determines the specific cognitive and behavioral outcome, but the fundamental pathology lies in the same circuit-level mechanism.

Clinical comorbidity across diagnostic boundaries supports this dimensional view. Features such as sensory processing abnormalities, social withdrawal, and cognitive rigidity appear across both disorders, differing mainly in severity and developmental timing. Interventions aimed at reestablishing E/I homeostasis—through pharmacological, genetic, or neurostimulatory methods—are now being investigated across multiple diagnostic groups (Sousa et al., 2022). Techniques such as transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) seek to modulate cortical excitability directly, offering new avenues for restoring functional balance.

## 4. Mechanisms Governing E/I Homeostasis

The regulation of excitation and inhibition within neural networks is one of the most intricate adaptive processes in the brain. The ability of neural systems to maintain equilibrium while remaining responsive to experience reflects a dynamic balance known as E/I homeostasis. This regulatory process ensures that neurons neither overreact to inputs nor become unresponsive, thereby preserving the integrity of perception, learning, and memory. Homeostatic regulation operates through a spectrum of mechanisms that include synaptic scaling, inhibitory plasticity, intrinsic excitability adjustments, and neuromodulatory control. These mechanisms interact across temporal and spatial scales to maintain stable neural activity despite continuous synaptic remodeling and environmental fluctuations.

#### 4.1 Synaptic Scaling and Global Regulation

Synaptic scaling represents one of the most widely studied homeostatic mechanisms that maintain the stability of neuronal firing. It refers to the global, multiplicative adjustment of synaptic strength that restores mean neuronal activity toward a target level. When neurons experience prolonged changes in firing rate, they compensate by uniformly scaling the efficacy of their excitatory synapses up or down. This process preserves the relative differences between synapses while stabilizing overall excitability. The concept was first articulated by Turrigiano (2012), who described how synaptic scaling functions as a global feedback loop ensuring network stability during periods of intense Hebbian plasticity.

The molecular underpinnings of synaptic scaling involve the trafficking and regulation of AMPA-type glutamate receptors (AMPA-Rs). Prolonged inactivity induces the insertion of additional AMPARs into the postsynaptic membrane, whereas excessive activity leads to their removal. Calcium-dependent signaling cascades, particularly those involving CaMKIV and BDNF, mediate these adjustments by linking neuronal activity to gene expression. Such feedback mechanisms allow neurons to maintain responsiveness despite significant variations in input statistics. This form of homeostatic plasticity ensures that learning-related synaptic modifications do not destabilize global network function.

Inhibitory synapses are also subject to scaling, although their regulation follows distinct molecular rules. GABA receptor density, subunit composition, and vesicle release probability can be modulated in response to prolonged changes in network activity. By adjusting inhibitory strength in parallel with excitatory scaling, neurons achieve a coordinated recalibration of E/I ratios that preserves overall balance (Fernandes & Carvalho, 2016).

#### 4.2 Inhibitory Plasticity and Circuit-Level Stability

The adaptive capacity of inhibitory neurons is critical for the fine-tuning of cortical circuits. Unlike excitatory plasticity, which is often input-specific, inhibitory plasticity exerts global control over the output of neuronal populations. Inhibitory interneurons, particularly those expressing parvalbumin and somatostatin, modify their synaptic weights in response to deviations from optimal activity levels. When

excitatory drive increases, inhibitory synapses strengthen to restore firing homeostasis; when excitatory drive decreases, inhibition weakens. This bidirectional rule allows networks to self-stabilize through local interactions without requiring external feedback.

Sprekeler (2017) introduced theoretical models showing that inhibitory plasticity functions as an error-correcting mechanism. The model posits that inhibitory neurons estimate the deviation between actual and desired activity levels, adjusting their outputs accordingly. Such mechanisms not only maintain homeostasis but also enhance sensory discrimination by regulating gain control. Experimental studies support this view: optogenetic manipulations that selectively weaken inhibitory neurons induce runaway excitation and epileptiform activity, while strengthening inhibition restores balance.

Inhibitory plasticity also operates on distinct temporal scales. Rapid forms rely on short-term synaptic depression or facilitation that adjusts inhibitory efficacy within milliseconds. Longer-term adaptations involve structural changes in inhibitory synapses, such as alterations in gephyrin clustering or postsynaptic receptor expression. These processes enable inhibitory networks to modulate circuit function across both immediate and developmental timeframes.

#### 4.3 Intrinsic Plasticity and Neuronal Excitability

In addition to synaptic adjustments, neurons regulate their internal electrical properties to achieve homeostasis. Intrinsic plasticity refers to changes in a neuron's membrane excitability through the modulation of ion channel density and kinetics. When synaptic input fluctuates, neurons can alter the expression of voltage-gated channels to stabilize firing output. This form of regulation complements synaptic scaling by directly influencing how neurons transform synaptic input into spikes.

Debanne, Inglebert, and Russier (2019) describe intrinsic plasticity as a pervasive feature of all neuronal types. For example, prolonged depolarization can induce the upregulation of potassium channels, reducing excitability, while chronic inactivity can decrease potassium conductance to enhance responsiveness. Such compensatory mechanisms maintain consistent firing patterns despite variable synaptic input. Importantly, intrinsic plasticity interacts with

synaptic homeostasis through shared calcium-dependent signaling pathways, linking cellular excitability to network stability.

Intrinsic plasticity also contributes to metaplasticity, the modulation of a neuron's capacity to undergo future synaptic changes. By adjusting the threshold for synaptic plasticity induction, intrinsic mechanisms determine whether experience strengthens or weakens specific synapses. This interaction between intrinsic and synaptic processes ensures that the brain remains adaptable yet resistant to destabilization.

#### *4.4 Local and Global Coordination of Homeostatic Processes*

Homeostatic regulation operates across hierarchical levels of neural organization. Local mechanisms, such as synaptic scaling and inhibitory feedback, stabilize activity within individual neurons or microcircuits. Global mechanisms, including neuromodulatory control and network-wide adjustments, maintain overall brain stability. Coordination between these levels is essential to prevent conflicts between localized and system-wide demands.

Turrigiano (2011) emphasized that multiple “cooks” contribute to cortical refinement, including intrinsic excitability, inhibitory control, and synaptic scaling. Each operates within overlapping domains, yet together they produce a coherent regulatory architecture. For example, during sensory deprivation, neurons initially exhibit reduced activity, triggering synaptic upscaling and decreased inhibition. As normal sensory input resumes, these compensations are reversed, restoring balance without disrupting previously learned representations.

Global neuromodulatory systems such as serotonin, acetylcholine, and dopamine play a pivotal role in coordinating E/I homeostasis. These systems influence synaptic plasticity thresholds and regulate the balance between excitation and inhibition in context-dependent ways. In dopaminergic circuits, for instance, modulation of inhibitory interneurons in the prefrontal cortex determines the stability of working memory states. In sensory systems, cholinergic input enhances excitatory drive while selectively suppressing inhibitory tone, allowing attention-dependent amplification of relevant signals.

#### *4.5 Pathological Disruption of Homeostatic*

#### *Mechanisms*

When the delicate interplay between homeostatic processes fails, neural circuits become vulnerable to chronic imbalance. Persistent hyperexcitability can lead to epileptic activity, while excessive inhibition may result in cognitive suppression and sensory deprivation. The review by Chen et al. (2022) discusses how dysfunction in homeostatic plasticity contributes to neurological disorders such as autism, schizophrenia, and epilepsy. Genetic mutations that impair receptor trafficking, calcium signaling, or synaptic scaffolding disrupt the feedback loops that normally stabilize neuronal activity. Environmental stressors, including inflammation and metabolic dysregulation, can exacerbate these vulnerabilities, leading to long-term maladaptation.

In neurodegenerative conditions, the failure of inhibitory plasticity contributes to network hyperactivity and excitotoxicity. In aging and Alzheimer's disease, for instance, reduced GABAergic function leads to a loss of cortical rhythm coherence and memory deficits. Conversely, in depression and chronic stress, heightened inhibitory tone suppresses neural responsiveness and disrupts emotional regulation. These pathologies highlight that both extremes of imbalance are detrimental to healthy brain function.

### **5. Clinical Correlates and Therapeutic Perspectives**

The balance between excitation and inhibition is a central determinant of neural circuit stability, yet its dysregulation is increasingly recognized as a defining feature of many neuropsychiatric and neurological conditions. The clinical implications of this imbalance are broad, spanning disorders that involve both excessive excitation, such as epilepsy and migraine, and those characterized by insufficient inhibition, such as schizophrenia, autism, and anxiety disorders. In clinical contexts, the loss of E/I homeostasis manifests as altered sensory processing, emotional dysregulation, and cognitive dysfunction. Understanding these manifestations at the circuit and molecular levels has begun to reshape therapeutic strategies, guiding the development of interventions that restore equilibrium through targeted modulation of excitatory and inhibitory pathways.

#### *5.1 E/I Imbalance in Clinical Phenotypes*

Alterations in the ratio of excitatory and inhibitory signaling have been observed across numerous disorders. In schizophrenia, reduced inhibitory control within cortical microcircuits leads to disorganized gamma oscillations and aberrant connectivity, producing symptoms such as hallucinations and cognitive fragmentation (Liu et al., 2021). In autism spectrum disorder (ASD), excessive excitatory drive disrupts the coherence of large-scale neural networks, impairing sensory filtering and social cognition. These distinct patterns reflect how the same underlying mechanism can yield divergent clinical expressions depending on developmental timing and circuit location.

In mood and anxiety disorders, imbalances between excitation and inhibition modulate emotional reactivity and stress resilience. Hyperactivity in limbic regions such as the amygdala correlates with heightened anxiety and affective instability, while decreased prefrontal inhibitory regulation contributes to impaired emotional control. In major depressive disorder, chronic overactivation of glutamatergic pathways is associated with excitotoxic stress and diminished synaptic plasticity, leading to cognitive inflexibility and anhedonia. These findings underscore that E/I balance governs not only sensory and cognitive functions but also the neural substrates of emotion and motivation.

In epilepsy and migraine, pathological hyperexcitability arises from failures of inhibitory containment. Studies of cortical spreading depression in migraine reveal transient disruptions in inhibitory tone that precede aura phenomena and headache onset (Vecchia & Pietrobon, 2012). In epilepsy, genetic mutations affecting GABA receptor subunits or sodium channel function destabilize local circuits, promoting synchronous bursts of excitatory activity. These episodes illustrate the catastrophic consequences that can emerge when homeostatic mechanisms are unable to restrain excitation.

### 5.2 Molecular and Circuit-Level Correlates

At the molecular level, the E/I ratio is shaped by the balance between glutamatergic and GABAergic neurotransmission. Dysregulation of these systems has been consistently linked to clinical pathology. Decreased expression of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD67) and parvalbumin, both essential for inhibitory function, has been reported in schizophrenia

and depression (Selten, van Bokhoven, & Kasri, 2018). Conversely, upregulation of NMDA receptor activity contributes to hyperexcitability and cortical noise. In ASD, increased levels of the excitatory amino acid transporter EAAT3 alter glutamate clearance, prolonging excitatory signaling and impairing temporal precision.

Cortical circuits maintain E/I balance through tightly coupled feedforward and feedback inhibition. In the healthy brain, fast-spiking interneurons synchronize pyramidal cell firing, enabling coherent gamma oscillations. Disruption of this synchronization is a common electrophysiological hallmark across psychiatric disorders. Reduced gamma power has been documented in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and ASD, correlating with deficits in attention, working memory, and perceptual integration (Anticevic & Murray, 2017). These findings have spurred interest in interventions that target oscillatory dynamics through pharmacological and neuromodulatory means.

### 5.3 Pharmacological Approaches to Restoring E/I Balance

Pharmacological modulation of excitatory and inhibitory systems remains a cornerstone of therapeutic strategies aimed at reestablishing neural balance. Traditional approaches have focused on enhancing GABAergic inhibition or suppressing glutamatergic overdrive. Benzodiazepines, which potentiate GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor function, remain widely used for anxiety and epilepsy. However, their long-term efficacy is limited by tolerance and dependency. Modern alternatives include positive allosteric modulators of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors and selective agents that enhance tonic inhibition through extrasynaptic receptors, offering more sustained regulation of inhibitory tone.

On the excitatory side, NMDA receptor antagonists such as ketamine and memantine have attracted attention for their rapid antidepressant and neuroprotective effects. By reducing excessive glutamatergic transmission, these drugs restore synaptic homeostasis and promote the reactivation of dormant plasticity pathways. Ghatak et al. (2021) emphasize that such interventions may correct pathological hyperexcitability in both neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases. However, their clinical use requires careful titration, as excessive inhibition of glutamatergic activity can impair cognition.

Emerging therapies also aim to rebalance E/I signaling through neuromodulatory systems. Serotonergic and dopaminergic drugs indirectly influence cortical excitation by altering interneuron function and network synchrony. Antipsychotic medications, for instance, reduce cortical excitability not only through dopamine D2 receptor blockade but also by enhancing GABAergic transmission in prefrontal circuits. Similarly, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) exert stabilizing effects on E/I balance by modulating interneuron excitability and promoting neurogenesis.

#### *5.4 Neuromodulation and Non-Pharmacological Interventions*

Beyond pharmacotherapy, neuromodulation techniques offer targeted methods to adjust cortical excitability. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) can influence the E/I ratio by selectively activating or inhibiting specific cortical regions. These interventions have shown promise in depression, schizophrenia, and ASD by restoring disrupted oscillatory synchrony and enhancing cortical plasticity. The review by Sousa, Martins, and Castelo-Branco (2022) highlights that low-frequency TMS applied to hyperexcitable regions can reduce abnormal excitatory activity, while high-frequency stimulation can strengthen underactive inhibitory networks.

In parallel, neurofeedback training and brain-computer interface approaches leverage real-time monitoring of neural activity to teach patients how to self-regulate E/I dynamics. These methods are grounded in the principle that brain activity can be modified through operant conditioning, gradually restoring balanced oscillatory patterns associated with healthy cognition and emotion. Early trials suggest that such noninvasive strategies can complement pharmacological treatments by reinforcing adaptive plasticity.

Dietary and metabolic interventions have also been explored as modulators of neural excitability. The ketogenic diet, which shifts energy metabolism toward ketone utilization, has demonstrated anticonvulsant effects through enhancement of GABA synthesis and suppression of glutamate release. Similar metabolic strategies are being investigated for mood disorders and neurodegenerative diseases, where energy dysregulation contributes to E/I

instability.

#### *5.5 Future Therapeutic Directions*

The growing recognition of E/I balance as a unifying principle across brain disorders encourages the development of therapies that target shared circuit-level mechanisms rather than symptom categories. This paradigm shift aligns with network-based psychiatry, which views disorders as manifestations of disrupted information processing within interconnected brain systems. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) propose that treatment should aim not at symptom suppression but at restoring the dynamic equilibrium that underlies cognition and perception.

Recent computational models suggest that effective therapy requires multi-level intervention. Pharmacological agents can provide global stabilization, while behavioral and neuromodulatory methods refine local circuit function. Such integrative approaches are supported by advances in personalized medicine, where biomarkers of E/I imbalance—derived from EEG spectral power, magnetic resonance spectroscopy, or genetic profiling—guide individualized treatment plans.

The challenge lies in translating these mechanistic insights into clinically actionable protocols. Restoring balance must account for developmental context, as interventions that are beneficial in one life stage may disrupt plasticity in another. Therapies that combine pharmacological precision with adaptive learning-based methods may offer the best potential to reestablish lasting neural harmony.

### **6. Conceptual Implications: A Framework for Unifying Brain Disorders**

The conceptual foundation of excitation–inhibition balance offers an organizing framework that redefines how brain disorders are categorized and understood. Rather than viewing psychiatric and neurological diseases as discrete entities, the E/I balance perspective proposes a continuum model that captures their shared physiological substrates. This paradigm replaces categorical distinctions with dimensional relationships grounded in circuit dynamics. The brain is conceived as a complex adaptive system in which disorders represent shifts away from an optimal equilibrium between excitatory and inhibitory signaling. The idea transforms mental

illness from a collection of independent pathologies into expressions of a common principle of neural disorganization.

### 6.1 *The Continuum of Neural Dysregulation*

Traditional diagnostic models divide neurological and psychiatric disorders based on symptom clusters and etiology. However, the dimensional approach inspired by E/I balance recognizes that brain dysfunction exists on a spectrum of excitation and inhibition. Hyperexcitability leads to disorders such as epilepsy and autism, while excessive inhibition contributes to conditions like depression and catatonia. Between these poles lies a gradient of intermediate states where cognitive and affective disturbances arise from varying degrees of imbalance. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) argue that this continuum framework allows researchers to relate seemingly distinct disorders through their shared circuit mechanisms rather than their behavioral manifestations.

This conceptual shift aligns with developments in computational psychiatry, where disorders are modeled as disruptions in information processing rather than as isolated clinical syndromes. Within this framework, neural networks function as dynamic systems that must maintain stable yet flexible states of activity. When inhibitory control weakens, neural circuits lose the capacity to filter noise, leading to perceptual distortions and cognitive disorganization. When excitation diminishes, motivational and cognitive functions become blunted. Both scenarios reflect deviations from an optimal operating regime rather than the presence of unique pathological entities (Anticevic & Murray, 2017).

### 6.2 *Integrating Molecular and Systems Neuroscience*

The E/I balance framework provides a unifying language that bridges molecular neuroscience, circuit physiology, and behavioral science. At the molecular level, alterations in glutamatergic or GABAergic signaling represent the most immediate expressions of imbalance. At the systems level, these changes cascade into altered network synchrony and communication across brain regions. O'Donnell, Gonçalves, and Portera-Cailliau (2017) describe this process as multidimensional, involving shifts across multiple axes of neural regulation, including synaptic strength, connectivity, and temporal coordination. Each disorder can thus be

represented as a distinct configuration within a shared multidimensional space of neural function.

This integrative view dissolves the boundary between “psychiatric” and “neurological” domains. For example, both epilepsy and schizophrenia exhibit disruptions in cortical oscillations and inhibitory interneuron function, yet they differ in the direction and context of imbalance. The same molecular abnormalities, such as mutations affecting NMDA receptor signaling, can produce epileptic seizures when hyperexcitability dominates or psychosis when inhibitory deficits impair network coherence. These findings support the idea that disorders reflect different points on a shared landscape of excitation–inhibition dynamics.

Incorporating systems-level perspectives into psychiatry enhances the ability to relate microscopic biochemical changes to macroscopic patterns of cognition and emotion. Altered E/I ratios modulate the strength and timing of neural oscillations that underlie attention, memory, and consciousness. The work of Tucker, Luu, and Friston (2025) links these dynamics to the concept of neural criticality, proposing that healthy cognition emerges when the brain operates near a critical transition point between order and chaos. Disorders arise when this criticality is lost, shifting the brain toward hyper- or hypo-synchronous states.

### 6.3 *Dimensional Psychiatry and the End of Categorical Diagnoses*

The dimensional model grounded in E/I balance resonates with emerging movements in psychiatric classification, particularly the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) framework. Instead of grouping disorders by symptom clusters, RDoC emphasizes the identification of underlying neurobiological dimensions that cut across traditional boundaries. Excitation–inhibition balance provides one such dimension, measurable through electrophysiological, neurochemical, and behavioral indicators. Heinz (2017) argues that this approach allows mental illness to be modeled as graded deviations in network function rather than binary disease states.

In this view, schizophrenia, autism, and bipolar disorder can be conceptualized not as separate categories but as distinct attractor basins within the same dynamic system. Each condition represents a stable yet maladaptive

configuration of neural activity shaped by genetic predisposition, developmental history, and environmental stressors. Computational modeling by Murray and Wang (2018) illustrates how small alterations in inhibitory strength or synaptic gain can shift cortical networks between different attractor states corresponding to specific symptom profiles. Such models provide a mechanistic explanation for comorbidity and symptom overlap, as shared underlying disturbances can manifest differently depending on context.

The dimensional framework also reinterprets resilience and vulnerability. Healthy individuals exhibit adaptive fluctuations in E/I balance that enable cognitive flexibility and emotional regulation. When these mechanisms become rigid or overcompensatory, the system loses the capacity for self-correction, increasing susceptibility to disorder. In this sense, mental health reflects the ability to maintain dynamic balance rather than the absence of pathology.

#### *6.4 Theoretical Synthesis and Computational Modeling*

The E/I balance paradigm lends itself naturally to computational formalization. Neural circuit models simulate how alterations in excitatory or inhibitory conductance affect cognitive operations such as working memory, attention, and decision making. In schizophrenia, for example, decreased inhibitory input to pyramidal neurons destabilizes persistent activity patterns, leading to fragmented thought and hallucinations. In autism, excessive local excitation enhances pattern discrimination but reduces integrative processing across distant brain regions. Anticevic and Lisman (2017) argue that such simulations demonstrate how global changes in E/I ratio can produce localized functional deficits without structural damage.

These computational insights have broader implications for understanding consciousness and adaptive behavior. Tucker et al. (2025) propose that E/I balance supports a form of predictive homeostasis, where the brain continuously minimizes uncertainty by regulating the flow of excitation and inhibition. Cognitive symptoms in psychiatric disorders emerge when this predictive regulation fails, leading to excessive confidence in sensory predictions or to chronic uncertainty. The resulting imbalance manifests as hallucinations, delusions, or anxiety, depending on the direction

of deviation.

This theoretical integration unites multiple perspectives—from predictive coding to energy-efficient computation—under a single biological principle. The brain's capacity to represent and respond to its environment depends on maintaining E/I ratios within optimal bounds. Disruption of this equilibrium undermines the fidelity of internal models, linking subjective experience directly to neural computation.

#### *6.5 Implications for Diagnosis and Treatment*

A unifying framework based on excitation–inhibition dynamics offers new possibilities for clinical practice. Diagnostic boundaries could be replaced with quantitative biomarkers reflecting network stability, such as gamma-band coherence, GABA/glutamate ratios, or computational metrics of neural gain. Treatments could aim to restore criticality rather than suppress symptoms, tailoring interventions to an individual's specific point on the E/I continuum. This approach would bridge the gap between pharmacology, neuroimaging, and behavioral therapy, aligning clinical goals with the fundamental laws of neural regulation.

Selten et al. (2018) suggest that therapies targeting inhibitory interneurons could be beneficial across multiple disorders, from autism to schizophrenia. Similarly, interventions that modulate glutamatergic transmission or promote synaptic homeostasis may restore functional balance regardless of diagnosis. Computational psychiatry, informed by models of E/I balance, provides a quantitative means to evaluate the effectiveness of such interventions in real time by measuring changes in network dynamics.

### **7. Conclusion**

Revisiting neurological and psychiatric disorders through the concept of excitation–inhibition balance reveals a unifying logic that transcends the boundaries of traditional medical classification. The brain emerges as a self-organizing system whose capacity for perception, cognition, and emotion depends on the dynamic interplay between excitatory and inhibitory activity. When this equilibrium shifts, the resulting instability reverberates across multiple levels of organization, from single synapses to large-scale networks. The implications of this perspective are profound: mental and neurological disorders

cease to appear as discrete malfunctions and instead become visible as expressions of the same fundamental principle of imbalance.

Excitation–inhibition homeostasis functions as the brain’s primary safeguard against chaos and rigidity. Neurons continuously adapt their excitatory and inhibitory conductances to stabilize firing rates while maintaining sensitivity to environmental input. This balance is not a static ratio but a dynamic equilibrium that must be preserved through constant feedback. Studies of cortical networks show that stability emerges when inhibitory and excitatory forces are matched in strength and timing, allowing complex patterns of neural firing to remain coherent across time (Tatti et al., 2017). The loss of this coordination is now understood to underlie a wide range of conditions including epilepsy, schizophrenia, autism, and neurodegeneration. In each case, the imbalance leads to either runaway excitation or network silencing, both of which degrade information processing and behavioral control.

From a theoretical standpoint, the concept of E/I balance offers a model of how biological systems sustain stability in the face of constant perturbation. It represents a cybernetic principle of control, where feedback and adaptation maintain optimal function. When excitatory drive exceeds inhibitory control, the system becomes prone to oscillatory instability and hyperresponsiveness, leading to cognitive noise and perceptual overload. When inhibition dominates, the system loses plasticity and responsiveness, producing rigidity of thought and affect. The brain’s health thus depends on its ability to oscillate between these two poles without becoming trapped in either extreme.

Empirical findings across multiple fields support this framework. In schizophrenia, cortical inhibitory neurons fail to coordinate the timing of pyramidal cell activity, leading to disorganized gamma oscillations and cognitive disarray (Anticevic & Lisman, 2017). In autism, the same mechanism manifests in the opposite direction, with excessive local excitation and impaired long-range connectivity resulting in heightened sensory focus but reduced integration of social and contextual information (Martinez, 2024). The similarity between these seemingly distinct disorders lies in the underlying circuit vulnerability rather than in their outward symptoms.

Computational neuroscience has expanded the explanatory power of the E/I balance paradigm. Network simulations reveal that altering inhibitory conductance disrupts the attractor dynamics that support stable representations in working memory and perception (Murray & Wang, 2018). Such findings demonstrate that mental disorders can be interpreted as failures of neural computation arising from imbalanced feedback control. This approach provides a bridge between cellular pathology and cognitive phenomenology, showing how subtle deviations at the synaptic level propagate into large-scale dysfunctions in consciousness and behavior.

The clinical significance of this theoretical scaffold lies in its capacity to unify treatment strategies across diagnostic categories. Instead of focusing on symptom suppression, therapeutic approaches informed by E/I balance aim to restore physiological equilibrium. Pharmacological interventions that modulate GABAergic or glutamatergic transmission have shown promise in stabilizing circuit activity across conditions ranging from epilepsy to depression (Ghatak et al., 2021). Noninvasive neuromodulation techniques such as transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial direct current stimulation are increasingly used to recalibrate cortical excitability, demonstrating that targeted manipulation of E/I dynamics can improve clinical outcomes. Such interventions treat the brain as a regulatory system rather than a damaged structure, reengaging its intrinsic capacity for self-correction.

The conceptual reach of this framework extends to the philosophy of neuroscience itself. The brain, viewed through the lens of E/I balance, becomes a model of adaptive complexity rather than a machine prone to malfunction. Each neural state reflects a compromise between opposing tendencies toward order and chaos. The pathology of mind is thus reinterpreted as a loss of this dialectical balance, where circuits fall into either hyperactive disarray or hypoactive rigidity. Sohal and Rubenstein (2019) describe this dynamic as the central organizing feature of neuropsychiatric research, suggesting that health is best defined as the sustained capacity to maintain balance in the face of perturbation.

The E/I perspective also offers a way to integrate psychiatry and neurology into a single discipline grounded in systems biology. Conditions traditionally classified as mental or neurological

share common circuit-level origins, differing only in expression and severity. The same synaptic mechanisms that underlie cognition also support sensory processing and motor control, meaning that disturbances in excitation–inhibition ratios can manifest as both cognitive and somatic symptoms. This integrative view erodes the artificial boundary between mind and brain, reinforcing the idea that mental health is inseparable from neural homeostasis.

Future research will depend on translating this conceptual framework into measurable biological markers. Advances in neuroimaging, electrophysiology, and computational modeling now make it possible to quantify E/I ratios and predict network stability in vivo. Such measures could transform diagnosis and treatment by allowing clinicians to tailor interventions to the specific configuration of imbalance in each patient. Studies using magnetic resonance spectroscopy to assess GABA and glutamate concentrations already point toward the feasibility of personalized neurochemical profiling (Selten et al., 2018).

In conclusion, the lens of excitation–inhibition balance reframes the understanding of brain disorders as disruptions of a universal organizing principle rather than isolated disease entities. The brain emerges as a dynamic organism engaged in continuous negotiation between excitation and inhibition, stability and plasticity, coherence and complexity. Restoring harmony within this system becomes not only a therapeutic goal but a philosophical model for understanding the human condition. The fragility of this balance mirrors the balance of thought and emotion that defines human experience. To sustain equilibrium in the brain is to sustain the capacity for consciousness itself, a reminder that mental health resides in the artful tension between the forces that make the mind both stable and free.

## References

Anticevic, A., & Lisman, J. (2017). How can global alteration of excitation/inhibition balance lead to the local dysfunctions that underlie schizophrenia? *Biological Psychiatry*, 81(10), 841–853.

Canitano, R., & Pallagrosi, M. (2017). Autism spectrum disorders and schizophrenia spectrum disorders: Excitation/inhibition imbalance and developmental trajectories.

*Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 8, 69.

Chen, J. Y., Lonjers, P., Lee, C., Chindemi, G., & Markram, H. (2022). Homeostatic plasticity and excitation–inhibition balance: The good, the bad, and the ugly. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 72, 102–111.

Debanne, D., Inglebert, Y., & Russier, M. (2019). Plasticity of intrinsic neuronal excitability. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 54, 73–82.

Fernandes, D., & Carvalho, A. L. (2016). Mechanisms of homeostatic plasticity in the excitatory synapse. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 139(6), 973–996.

Froemke, R. C. (2015). Plasticity of cortical excitatory-inhibitory balance. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 38, 195–219.

Gao, R., & Penzes, P. (2015). Common mechanisms of excitatory and inhibitory imbalance in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorders. *Current Molecular Medicine*, 15(2), 146–167.

Ghatak, S., Talantova, M., & McKercher, S. R. (2021). Novel therapeutic approach for excitatory/inhibitory imbalance in neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 61, 701–721.

Lam, N. H., Borduqui, T., Hallak, J. E., & Deco, G. (2022). Effects of altered excitation–inhibition balance on decision-making dynamics in cortical circuit models. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 42(6), 1035–1050.

Liang, Z., Yang, Y., & Zhou, C. (2025). Excitation-inhibition balance and neural criticality in brain function and disorders. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 29(3), 187–200.

Liu, Y., Liu, H., Wang, J., Zhou, S., Zhang, Y., Jiang, Y., & Li, J. (2021). Disrupted-in-schizophrenia-1 (DISC1) protein: A key player in neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, 15, 664535.

Martinez, E. L. J. (2024). *Excitation-Inhibition Balance in Neurodevelopmental Disorders: Towards a Network-Level Neurophysiology Approach to Improve Diagnosis and Treatment* (Doctoral dissertation). Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

Murray, J. D., & Wang, X. J. (2018). Cortical

- circuit models in psychiatry: Linking disrupted excitation–inhibition balance to cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia. In A. Anticevic & X. J. Wang (Eds.), *Computational Psychiatry* (pp. 1–22). Academic Press.
- Pietropaolo, S., & Provenzano, G. (2022). Targeting excitation/inhibition imbalance in autism spectrum disorders: Challenges and perspectives. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 16, 968115.
- Selten, M., van Bokhoven, H., & Kasri, N. N. (2018). Inhibitory control of the excitatory/inhibitory balance in psychiatric disorders. *F1000Research*, 7, 23.
- Sohal, V. S., & Rubenstein, J. L. R. (2019). Excitation-inhibition balance as a framework for investigating mechanisms in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 24(9), 1248–1257.
- Sousa, A., Martins, R., & Castelo-Branco, M. (2022). Rebalancing excitation and inhibition in autism spectrum disorder: Potential of non-invasive brain stimulation and neurofeedback. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(10), 2839.
- Sprekeler, H. (2017). Inhibitory plasticity, homeostasis, and the functional organization of cortical circuits. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 43, 198–204.
- Tatti, R., Haley, M. S., Swanson, O. K., Tselha, T., & Maffei, A. (2017). Neurophysiology and regulation of the balance between excitation and inhibition in neocortical circuits. *Biological Psychiatry*, 81(10), 821–831.
- Turrigiano, G. G. (2012). Homeostatic synaptic plasticity: Local and global mechanisms for stabilizing neuronal function. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 4(1), a005736.
- Uzunova, G., Pallanti, S., & Hollander, E. (2016). Excitatory/inhibitory imbalance in autism spectrum disorders: Implications for interventions and therapeutics. *World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, 17(3), 174–186.
- Vecchia, D., & Pietrobon, D. (2012). Migraine: A disorder of brain excitatory–inhibitory balance? *Trends in Neurosciences*, 35(8), 507–520.
- Wolf, F., Engelken, R., Puelma-Touzel, M., Weidinger, J. D., & Neef, A. (2014). Dynamical models of cortical circuits. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 25, 228–236.