PIONEER
ACADEMIC PUBLISHING LIMITED

Studies in Art and Architecture
ISSN 2958-1540
www.pioneerpublisher.com/SAA
Volume 4 Number 3 June 2025

The Built Environment as Partner: A Review of Human Interactions with Buildings

Irimiagha Gibson Francis[1] & Dennis Ejike Amadi[1]

[1] Department of Architectural Technology, School of Environmental Sciences, Port Harcourt Polytechnic, Rumuola, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Correspondence: Irimiagha Gibson Francis, Department of Architectural Technology, School of Environmental Sciences, Port Harcourt Polytechnic, Rumuola, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

doi:10.56397/SAA.2025.06.03

Abstract

Humans spend the majority of their lives within buildings, making the interaction between people and the built environment a critical determinant of well-being, productivity, and overall quality of life. This paper attempts to provide a comprehensive review of the multifaceted nature of human-building interactions (HBI), encompassing psychological, physiological, behavioral, and social dimensions. The paper explores how building design, environmental conditions (e.g., lighting, acoustics, thermal comfort, air quality), spatial layout, and technology integration impact human experience and performance. It examines the influence of building characteristics on cognitive function, emotional states, social interactions, and health outcomes. Furthermore, it delves into the ways individuals adapt to and modify their built environments through personalization, technology use, and behavioral adjustments. The paper analyzes the challenges and opportunities for designing buildings that are more responsive to human needs, promoting well-being, and fostering positive social connections. Finally, it identifies key areas for future research in HBI, including the development of personalized building environments, the integration of biofeedback and sensor technologies, and the creation of inclusive and adaptable spaces that cater to diverse user populations. This review offers valuable insights for architects, designers, engineers, building managers, and researchers seeking to create built environments that enhance human flourishing.

Keywords: Human-Building Interaction (HBI), built environment, environmental psychology, occupant well-being, Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ), spatial cognition, architecture, design, human factors, Human-Computer Interaction (HCI)

1. Introduction

Buildings, more than just shelters are dynamic ecosystems that intensely shape human experience. From the homes we live to the offices where we work, the schools where we learn, and the hospitals where we restore our health, buildings exert a dominant influence on our physical, psychological, and social well-being. The study of human interactions with buildings (HBI) is an interdisciplinary field that seeks to understand the complex relationship between people and the built environment. It draws upon insights from

environmental Psychology, Architecture, Design, human factors, Engineering, and public health to create buildings that are more responsive to human needs and encourage positive outcomes.

The significance of HBI is derived from the fact that humans spend a huge part of their time indoors. Studies have shown that people in developed countries spend approximately 90% of their lives inside buildings (Klepeis et al., 2001). This underscores the critical importance of designing and managing buildings that support human health, productivity, and overall quality of life. Poorly designed buildings can result to a variety of negative consequences, including:

Reduced productivity: Inadequate lighting, poor air quality, and uncomfortable temperatures can affect cognitive function and reduce work performance (Seppänen & Fisk, 2006).

Increased stress: Noisy environments, lack of privacy and confusing layouts can contribute to stress and anxiety (Evans & McCoy, 1998).

Negative emotions: Unattractive or unfriendly spaces can induce feelings of sadness, boredom, or alienation (Ulrich, 1984).

Physical health problems: Poor indoor air quality can aggravate respiratory illnesses, while inadequate lighting can interrupt circadian rhythms and lead to sleep disorders (Sundell et al., 2011).

Social isolation: Buildings that lack opportunities for social interaction can contribute to loneliness and social isolation (Oldenburg, 1999).

Conversely, well-designed buildings can promote positive outcomes, such as:

Enhanced creativity and innovation: Stimulating and inspiring environments can enhance creativity and innovation (McCoy, 2005).

Improved cognitive function: Access to natural light and views of nature can enhance cognitive performance and memory (Berman et al., 2008).

Reduced stress and anxiety: Calming and restorative environments can reduce stress and anxiety (Ulrich et al., 1991).

Positive emotions: Attractive and welcoming spaces can evoke feelings of joy, comfort, and belonging (Hekkert, 2006).

Improved physical health: Healthy buildings can improve indoor air quality, promote physical activity, and support healthy lifestyles (Allen & MacNaughton, 2015).

Social connection: Buildings that provide opportunities for social interaction can foster a sense of community and belonging (Putnam, 2000).

This paper provides a comprehensive review of the key factors that influence human interactions with buildings. We will explore how building design, environmental conditions, spatial layout, and technology integration impact human experience and performance. We will also examine the ways individuals adapt to and modify their built environments. Finally, we will identify key areas for future research in HBI.

2. Foundations of Human-Building Interaction

The field of Human-Building Interaction draws upon a rich history of research from several disciplines. Understanding these foundational concepts is essential for appreciating the complexity of HBI.

ENVIRONMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY: Environmental psychology examines the relationship between humans and their physical environment. It explores how the built environment affects human behavior, cognition, and emotions (Gifford, 2007). Key concepts in environmental psychology include:

Environmental perception: Examines how individuals perceive and interpret their surroundings. This is influenced by factors such as sensory information, past experiences, and cultural background (Ittelson, 1973).

Environmental cognition: Explores how individuals acquire, organize, and use knowledge about their environment. This includes spatial orientation, way finding, and the formation of cognitive maps (Downs & Stea, 1977).

Environmental attitudes: How Individuals' feelings and beliefs about their environment can influence their behavior and preferences (Dunlap & Van Liere, 1978).

Environmental stress: The negative psychological and physiological effects of environmental stressors, such as noise, crowding, and pollution (Evans, 2006).

Restorative environments: Environments that promote recovery from stress and fatigue. Natural environments, in particular, have been

shown to have restorative effects (Ulrich et al., 1991).

ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN: Architecture and design are concerned with the creation of functional and aesthetically pleasing buildings. Architects and designers consider a wide range of factors, including building form, materials, spatial organization, and user needs (Ching, 2014). Key concepts in architecture and design relevant to HBI include:

Biophilic design involves incorporating elements of nature into the built environment to promote well-being (Kellert & Calabrese, 2015).

Evidence-based design: This concept uses research findings to inform design decisions and improve building outcomes (Stichler, 2001).

Universal design: Designing buildings to be accessible and usable by people of all ages and abilities (Mace, 1998).

Sustainable design: Designing buildings to minimize their environmental impact and promote resource conservation (Vale & Vale, 2013).

Participatory design: The concept of involving users of the proposed building in the design process to ensure that their needs and preferences are met (Sanoff, 2000).

HUMAN FACTORS AND ERGONOMICS: Human factors and ergonomics focus on the design of systems and environments that are compatible with human capabilities and limitations. It aims to optimize human performance, safety, and well-being (Sanders & McCormick, 1993). Key concepts in human factors relevant to HBI include:

Human-computer interaction (HCI): The design of interfaces between humans and computer systems. This is particularly relevant to smart buildings and building automation systems (Dix et al., 2004).

Cognitive ergonomics: The study of how cognitive processes, such as attention, memory, and decision-making, are affected by the work environment (Wickens et al., 2015).

Physical ergonomics: The study of how physical factors, such as posture, force, and repetition, affect the risk of musculoskeletal disorders (Bridger, 2008).

Organizational ergonomics: The study of how organizational factors, such as work schedules, job design, and teamwork, affect employee well-being and productivity (Wilson, 2014).

BUILDING SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING: Building science and engineering deals with the physical principles that govern building performance. It encompasses areas such as thermal comfort, acoustics, lighting, and indoor air quality (Straube & Burnett, 2011). Key concepts in building science relevant to HBI include:

Thermal comfort: The condition of mind that expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment (ASHRAE Standard 55, 2017).

Acoustics: The science of sound and its effects on human hearing and perception (Egan, 2007).

Lighting: The provision of adequate and appropriate illumination for visual tasks and human well-being (Rea, 2000).

Indoor air quality (IAQ): The quality of the air inside buildings, which can affect human health and comfort (Wolkoff, 2018).

Ventilation: The process of supplying and removing air from a building to maintain IAQ and thermal comfort (Awbi, 2003).

PUBLIC HEALTH: Public health is concerned with the health of populations and the prevention of disease. It recognizes that the built environment can have a significant impact on public health outcomes (Frumkin et al., 2004). Key concepts in public health relevant to HBI include:

Healthy buildings: Buildings that promote the health and well-being of their occupants by providing a safe, comfortable, and stimulating environment (Allen & MacNaughton, 2015).

Built environment and physical activity: The design of buildings and neighborhoods can encourage or discourage physical activity (Handy et al., 2002).

Built environment and mental health: The built environment can affect mental health outcomes, such as stress, anxiety, and depression (Barton & Grant, 2006).

Built environment and social equity: The distribution of environmental benefits and burdens across different social groups (Cole & Foster, 2001).

3. Methodology

The review employed a systematic approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize relevant literatures on human-building interactions. The

methodology involved the following steps:

3.1 Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted across multiple electronic databases. The search strategy utilized a combination of keywords and Boolean operators to capture a broad range of relevant articles. The primary keywords used were: "Human-Building Interaction," "Built Environment," "Environmental Psychology," "Occupant Well-being," "Indoor Environmental Quality," "Spatial Cognition," "Architecture," "Design," "Human Factors," "Ergonomics," "Public Health," combined with terms like "impact," "effect," "influence," "response," "perception," "behavior," "health," "productivity," and "social."

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and book chapters published in English.

3.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Only Articles that focused on the interaction between humans and the physical characteristics of buildings, reported empirical research, literature reviews, or theoretical frameworks relevant to HBI and addressed psychological, physiological, behavioral, or social aspects of HBI were included.

While Articles that primarily focused on macro-level urban planning or regional development without specific attention to building-level interactions, the social construction of buildings without considering human responses to physical attributes or not available in English were excluded.

3.3 Article Screening and Selection

The initial search results were screened based on titles and abstracts to remove irrelevant articles. The full texts of potentially relevant articles were then retrieved and assessed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

3.4 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Key information was extracted from the included articles, including: Study design and methodology (for empirical studies), Sample characteristics (if applicable), Building characteristics investigated, Human responses measured or discussed, Key findings and conclusions.

Theoretical Frameworks Utilized

The extracted data was synthesized thematically, grouping findings based on the key factors influencing HBI (building design, IEQ, technology integration, social/cultural factors) and the categories of human responses (psychological, physiological, behavioral).

3.5 Quality Assessment

The quality of the included empirical studies was assessed using relevant critical appraisal tools, such as the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklists. This assessment focused on methodological rigour, reporting quality, and potential sources of bias. The findings of the quality assessment were considered when synthesizing the evidence.

4. Research Findings

The systematic review of the literature revealed a wealth of research highlighting the significant impact of various building characteristics on human responses. Key findings are summarized below:

Key factors influencing human-building interaction:

BUILDING DESIGN: Building design encompasses the overall form, layout, materials, and aesthetics of a building.

Spatial Layout: The arrangement of spaces within a building can affect way finding, social interaction, and privacy. Clear and legible layouts can improve way finding and reduce stress (O'Neill, 1991). Spaces that provide opportunities for both social interaction and privacy can foster a sense of community and belonging (Altman, 1975). The concept of "prospect and refuge" suggests that humans prefer spaces that offer both a view of the surrounding environment (prospect) and a sense of enclosure and safety (refuge) (Appleton, 1975).

Aesthetics: The aesthetic qualities of a building can affect mood, emotions, and cognitive performance. Buildings that are visually appealing, well-proportioned, and harmonious can stir up feelings of joy, comfort, and awe (Nasar, 1994). Researches have shown that Exposure to aesthetically pleasing environments can enhance cognitive performance and reduce stress (Ulrich, 1984). The use of natural materials, textures, and colours can create a more calming and restorative environment (Kellert & Heerwagen, 2008).

Complexity and Legibility: The complexity of a building's design can affect cognitive load and wayfinding. Excessively complex or confusing

designs can lead to stress and frustration (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1982). Legible buildings, on the other hand, are easy to understand and navigate, reducing cognitive load and improving way finding (Lynch, 1960). The use of clear signage, landmarks, and spatial cues can enhance legibility.

Symbolism and Meaning: Buildings can communicate symbolic meanings that affect how people perceive and interact with them. Buildings that are perceived as being prestigious, powerful, or welcoming can influence behavior and social interaction (Rapoport, 1982). The use of Architectural styles, materials, and ornamentation can communicate symbolic meanings.

Flexibility and Adaptability: Flexible and adaptable buildings can better accommodate changing user needs and preferences. Modular designs, movable partitions, and reconfigurable furniture can allow users to customize their spaces (Brand, 1994). Adaptive reuse of existing buildings can preserve historic character while meeting contemporary needs (Cantacuzino, 1989).

INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (IEQ): IEQ refers to the environmental conditions inside a building, including thermal comfort, acoustics, lighting, and air quality.

Thermal Comfort: Thermal comfort is a key determinant of occupant satisfaction and productivity. Factors that influence thermal comfort include air temperature, humidity, air velocity, and radiant heat (ASHRAE Standard 55, 2017). Providing individual control over thermal comfort can improve occupant satisfaction and decrease energy consumption (de Dear & Brager, 2002). The use of natural ventilation, shading devices, and high-performance insulation can improve thermal comfort and reduce reliance on mechanical heating and cooling systems (Givoni, 1992).

Acoustics: Noise can be a significant source of stress and distraction in buildings. Factors that influence acoustics include sound transmission, sound absorption, and background noise levels (Egan, 2007). The use of sound-absorbing materials, noise barriers, and sound masking systems can improve acoustics and reduce noise levels (Beranek, 1988). Designing spaces with appropriate reverberation times can enhance speech intelligibility and create a more comfortable acoustic environment (Knudsen & Harris, 1978).

Lighting: Lighting can affect mood, circadian rhythms, and visual performance. Factors that influence lighting include illuminance, colour temperature, glare, and daylight access (Rea, 2000). Access to natural light has been shown to improve mood, cognitive performance, and sleep quality (Figueiro et al., 2002). The use of energy-efficient lighting systems, daylight harvesting strategies, and lighting controls can reduce energy consumption and improve lighting quality (Mills, 2006).

Indoor Air Quality (IAQ): Poor IAQ can lead to a range of health problems, including respiratory illnesses, allergies, and sick building syndrome (Wolkoff, 2018). Factors that influence IAQ include ventilation rates, pollutant sources, and humidity levels (Batterman, 2000). The use of high-efficiency filters, low-VOC materials, and proper ventilation can improve IAQ and reduce the risk of health problems (Godish, 2001).

TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION: Technology is increasingly being integrated into buildings to enhance functionality, efficiency, and user experience.

Building Automation Systems (BAS): BAS can automate building operations, such as HVAC, lighting, and security systems. These systems can improve energy efficiency, reduce operating costs, and enhance occupant comfort (Levermore, 2000). However, poorly designed BAS can be difficult to use and can lead to frustration and reduced occupant satisfaction (Yu et al., 2010).

Smart Building Technologies: Smart building technologies use sensors, data analytics, and artificial intelligence to optimize building performance and enhance user experience (Sinopoli et al., 2010). These technologies can personalize building environments, provide real-time feedback to occupants, and automate building operations. However, concerns about privacy, security, and data ownership must be addressed (Egan, 2016).

Assistive Technologies: Assistive technologies can help people with disabilities to access and use buildings (Enders, 1999). These technologies can include wheelchair ramps, elevators, accessible restrooms, and assistive listening devices. The principles of universal design should be applied to ensure that buildings are

accessible to people of all abilities (Mace, 1998).

Communication and Information Systems: Communication and information systems can provide occupants with access to information, entertainment, and communication tools. These systems can enhance productivity, social interaction, and well-being (Kraut et al., 1998). However, concerns about digital equity and access to technology must be addressed.

SOCIAL AND CULTURAL FACTORS: Social and cultural factors can influence how people perceive and interact with buildings.

Cultural Norms: Cultural norms can influence building design, spatial organization, and user behavior (Hall, 1966). For example, different cultures have different norms regarding personal space, privacy, and social interaction.

Social Interactions: Buildings can facilitate or inhibit social interactions. Spaces that provide opportunities for social interaction can foster a sense of community and belonging (Oldenburg, 1999). However, buildings that lack social spaces or designed in a way that discourages interaction can contribute to social isolation.

Organizational Culture: Organizational culture can influence how people use and interact with buildings. Organizations with strong cultures of collaboration and innovation may require different building designs than organizations with more hierarchical and traditional cultures (Schein, 2010).

Demographic Factors: Demographic factors, such as age, gender, and socioeconomic status, can influence building needs and preferences. For example, older adults may require different building designs than younger adults (Patterson & Chapman, 2008).

Personalization and Customization: Allowing occupants to personalize and customize their spaces can enhance their sense of ownership and control. This can improve satisfaction, productivity, and well-being (Sundstrom, 1986).

5. Human Responses to Buildings

Human responses to buildings can be broadly categorized into:

PSYCHOLOGICAL RESPONSES:

Cognitive Performance: Building design and IEQ can affect cognitive performance, including attention, memory, and decision-making (Lan et al., 2011). Access to natural light, views of nature, and good IAQ can enhance cognitive function (Berman et al., 2008). Noise and distractions can impair cognitive performance (Evans & Johnson, 2000).

Emotional States: Buildings can stir up a range of emotional states, including joy, comfort, stress, and anxiety (Ulrich, 1984). Aesthetic qualities, spatial layout, and social interactions can affect emotional states. Restorative environments can promote relaxation and reduce stress (Ulrich et al., 1991).

Motivation and Engagement: Building design and organizational culture can affect motivation and engagement. Buildings that are perceived as being supportive, collaborative, and inspiring can enhance motivation and engagement (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

Stress and Well-being: Poor building design and IEQ can contribute to stress and reduced well-being. Noise, crowding, poor IAQ, and lack of privacy can increase stress levels (Evans & McCoy, 1998). Access to nature, social support, and control over the environment can reduce stress and enhance well-being (Cohen & Wills, 1985).

Perception and Cognition: The built environment influences our perception of space, distance, and time. Factors such as lighting, color, and texture can alter our perception of a room's size and shape (Arnheim, 1977). Our cognitive maps of buildings help us navigate and understand our surroundings (Downs & Stea, 1977).

PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES:

Thermal Comfort and Health: Thermal comfort can affect physiological responses, such as heart rate, blood pressure, and skin temperature (Parsons, 2003). Extreme temperatures can lead to heat stress or hypothermia.

Circadian Rhythms: Lighting can affect circadian rhythms, which regulate sleep-wake cycles, hormone production, and other physiological processes (Reiter, 1991). Exposure to natural light during the day and darkness at night can promote healthy circadian rhythms.

Immune Function: IAQ can affect immune function and susceptibility to illness. Exposure to pollutants and allergens can impair immune function and increase the risk of respiratory infections (Fisk, 2000).

Sensory Perception: Our senses are constantly bombarded with stimuli in the built environment. Factors such as noise, lighting,

and odours can affect our sensory perception and overall comfort (Gibson, 1979).

Physical Activity: The built environment can influence our levels of physical activity. Access to stairs, walking paths, and recreational facilities can encourage physical activity and improve health (Saelens et al., 2003).

BEHAVIORAL RESPONSES:

Space Utilization: Building design and organizational culture can affect how people use and interact with spaces. Spaces that are perceived as being comfortable, inviting, and functional are more likely to be used (Sommer, 1969).

Social Interaction: Buildings can facilitate or inhibit social interactions. Spaces that provide opportunities for social interaction can foster a sense of community and belonging (Oldenburg, 1999).

Productivity and Performance: Building design and IEQ can affect productivity and performance. Comfortable and stimulating environments can enhance productivity and creativity (McCoy, 2005).

Wayfinding and Navigation: Buildings that are easy to navigate can reduce stress and improve efficiency. Clear signage, landmarks, and spatial cues can enhance wayfinding (Passini, 1984).

Personalization and Appropriation: Occupants often personalize and appropriate their spaces to reflect their identities and preferences. This can involve decorating, rearranging furniture, or adding personal items (Brown, 2002).

6. Adapting to the Built Environment

Humans are not passive recipients of the built environment; they actively adapt to and modify their surroundings. This adaptation can take several forms:

Personalization: Individuals often personalize their workspaces or homes to reflect their identities and preferences. This can be done by decorating, rearranging furniture, or adding personal items (Sundstrom, 1986). Personalization can enhance a sense of ownership, control, and belonging.

Behavioral Adjustments: Individuals may adjust their behavior to cope with environmental conditions. This can include changing clothing, adjusting thermostats, or moving to different locations (Humphreys, 1978). Behavioral adjustments can help to maintain comfort and well-being.

Technology Use: Individuals may use technology to adapt to their environment. This can include using headphones to block out noise, adjusting lighting with dimmers, or using air purifiers to improve IAQ. Technology can provide greater control over the environment.

Social Interactions: Individuals may seek out social interactions to cope with environmental stressors. Social support can buffer the negative effects of stress and enhance well-being (Cohen & Wills, 1985).

Environmental Advocacy: Individuals may engage in environmental advocacy to improve building conditions. This can involve complaining to building managers, forming tenant associations, or lobbying for policy changes. Environmental advocacy can lead to improvements in building design and management.

Modifications and Alterations: Occupants sometimes make physical modifications to their built environment, such as adding partitions, changing lighting fixtures, or installing new equipment. These alterations can improve functionality, comfort, and aesthetics (Lawrence, 1982).

7. Challenges and Opportunities

The field of Human-Building Interaction faces several challenges and opportunities:

CHALLENGES:

Complexity of HBI: HBI is a complex and interdisciplinary field that requires collaboration among researchers and practitioners from diverse backgrounds.

Lack of Standardized Metrics: There is a lack of standardized metrics for measuring human responses to buildings. This makes it difficult to compare results across studies and to evaluate the effectiveness of building interventions.

Difficulty in Isolating Variables: It can be difficult to isolate the effects of specific building features on human responses due to the complex interactions among variables.

Ethical Considerations: Research in HBI raises ethical considerations, such as privacy, informed consent, and data security.

Translating Research into Practice: There is often a gap between research findings and practical applications. Architects, designers, and building managers may not be aware of the

latest research or may not know how to apply it in their work.

Cost and Feasibility: Implementing HBI principles can be costly and time-consuming. Building owners and developers may be reluctant to invest in HBI interventions if they are not convinced of the potential benefits.

Balancing Competing Goals: Designing buildings involves balancing competing goals, such as energy efficiency, cost effectiveness, and human well-being. It can be challenging to prioritize human needs when other considerations are also important.

OPPORTUNITIES:

Technological Advancements: Technological advancements in sensing, data analytics, and artificial intelligence are creating new opportunities for understanding and responding to human needs in buildings.

Growing Awareness of HBI: There is a growing awareness of the importance of HBI among researchers, practitioners, and the general public. This is leading to increased demand for HBI expertise and services.

Increasing Emphasis on Sustainability and Well-being: There is an increasing emphasis on sustainability and well-being in building design and management. This is creating opportunities for HBI to contribute to more sustainable and healthy buildings.

Development of New Metrics and Tools: Researchers are developing new metrics and tools for measuring human responses to buildings. This will make it easier to evaluate the effectiveness of building interventions.

Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing: There is a growing emphasis on collaboration and knowledge sharing among researchers and practitioners in HBI. This will accelerate the translation of research into practice.

Education and Training: There is a need for more education and training in HBI for Architects, designers, Engineers, and building managers. This will equip them with the knowledge and skills to create more human-centered buildings.

Policy and Regulation: Government policies and regulations can play a role in promoting HBI. For example, building codes can be revised to incorporate HBI principles.

8. Future Research Directions

Future research in Human-Building Interaction should focus on:

Personalized Building Environments: Developing building systems that can personalize environmental conditions to individual needs and preferences, this could involve using sensors to track occupant behaviour and adjusting lighting, temperature, and ventilation accordingly.

Integration of Biofeedback and Sensor Technologies: Incorporating biofeedback and sensor technologies into buildings to monitor occupant health and well-being. This could involve using wearable sensors to track heart rate, sleep patterns, and stress levels.

Creation of Inclusive and Adaptable Spaces: Designing buildings that are inclusive and adaptable to the needs of diverse user populations. This could involve creating spaces that are accessible to people of all abilities, ages, and cultures.

Longitudinal Studies of HBI: Conducting longitudinal studies to examine the long-term effects of buildings on human health and well-being. This would provide valuable insights into the cumulative impacts of building environments.

Development of HBI Design Guidelines: Creating evidence-based design guidelines for HBI. This would provide architects, designers, and building managers with practical guidance on how to create more human-centered buildings.

Studies on the Impact of Biophilic Design: Further investigating the impact of biophilic design elements on human health, cognitive performance, and well-being.

Research on the Effects of Smart Building Technologies: Exploring the effects of smart building technologies on human behavior, privacy, and security.

Investigations into the Role of AI in HBI: Investigating the potential role of artificial intelligence in personalizing building environments and enhancing human-building interactions.

9. Conclusion

Human interactions with buildings are a critical determinant of well-being, productivity, and overall quality of life. The field of Human-Building Interaction seeks to

understand the complex interplay between people and the built environment. By applying principles from environmental psychology, architecture, design, human factors, engineering, and public health, we can create buildings that are more responsive to human needs and promote positive outcomes. Future research should focus on personalized building environments, the integration of biofeedback and sensor technologies, and the creation of inclusive and adaptable spaces. By prioritizing human needs in building design and management, we can create built environments that enhance human flourishing.

References

Allen, J. G., & MacNaughton, P. (2015). Toward a healthier built environment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *123*(10), A246-A247.

Altman, I. (1975). *The environment and social behavior: Privacy, personal space, territory, crowding*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.

Appleton, J. H. (1975). *The experience of landscape*. John Wiley & Sons.

Arnheim, R. (1977). *The dynamics of architectural form*. University of California Press.

ASHRAE Standard 55. (2017). *Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy*. ASHRAE.

Awbi, H. B. (2003). *Ventilation of buildings*. Spon Press.

Batterman, S. (2000). Exposure assessment for indoor air pollutants. *Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene*, *15*(3), 257-264.

Beranek, L. L. (1988). *Noise and vibration control*. Institute of Noise Control Engineering.

Berman, M. G., Jonides, J., & Kaplan, S. (2008). The cognitive benefits of interacting with nature. *Psychological Science*, *19*(12), 1207-1212.

Brand, S. (1994). *How buildings learn: What happens after they're built*. Viking.

Bridger, R. S. (2008). *Introduction to ergonomics* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.

Brown, B. B. (2002). Personalizing office spaces: Designers, users, and the social ecology of the workplace. *Journal of Interior Design*, *28*(1), 1-17.

Cantacuzino, S. (1989). *New uses for old buildings*. Architectural Press.

Ching, F. D. K. (2014). *Architecture: Form, space, and order* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, *98*(2), 310-357.

Cole, L., & Foster, S. (2001). *From the ground up: Environmental racism and the rise of the environmental justice movement*. New York University Press.

de Dear, R. J., & Brager, G. S. (2002). Thermal comfort in naturally ventilated buildings: Revisions to ASHRAE Standard 55. *Energy and Buildings*, *34*(6), 549-561.

Dix, A., Finlay, J., Abowd, G., & Beale, R. (2004). *Human-computer interaction* (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.

Downs, R. M., & Stea, D. (1977). *Maps in minds: Reflections on cognitive mapping*. Harper & Row.

Dunlap, R. E., & Van Liere, K. D. (1978). The "new environmental paradigm". *Journal of Environmental Education*, *9*(4), 10-19.

Egan, M. D. (2007). *Architectural acoustics*. J. Ross Publishing.

Egan, T. (2016). *Smart buildings*. Routledge.

Enders, A. (Ed.). (1999). *Assistive technology sourcebook*. RESNA Press.

Evans, G. W. (2006). Child development and the physical environment. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *57*, 423-451.

Evans, G. W., & Johnson, D. (2000). Stress and cognitive performance in children exposed to chronic aircraft noise. *Psychological Science*, *11*(6), 467-471.

Evans, G. W., & McCoy, J. (1998). When buildings don't work: Stress and health effects of poor office design. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *18*(2), 169-187.

Figueiro, M. G., Rea, M. S., Bullough, J. D., & Stevens, R. G. (2002). Circadian entrainment to irregular light-dark schedules. *Lighting Research & Technology*, *34*(3), 257-268.

Fisk, W. J. (2000). Health and productivity gains from better indoor environments. *Indoor Air*, *10*(5), 319-326.

Frumkin, H., Geller, R. J., Rubin, I. L., & Nodvin, J. (2004). *Safe and healthy school environments*. Jossey-Bass.

Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Houghton Mifflin.

Gifford, R. (2007). *Environmental psychology: Principles and practice* (4th ed.). Allyn & Bacon.

Givoni, B. (1992). Comfort, climate analysis and building design. *Energy and Buildings*, *18*(1), 11-23.

Godish, T. (2001). *Air quality* (4th ed.). CRC Press.

Hall, E. T. (1966). *The hidden dimension*. Doubleday.

Handy, S. L., Boarnet, M. G., Ewing, R., & Killingsworth, R. N. (2002). How the built environment affects physical activity: Views from urban planning and public health. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *23*(2 Suppl 1), 64-73.

Hekkert, P. (2006). Design for pleasure: Current trends and future directions. *International Journal of Design*, *1*(2), 1-9.

Humphreys, M. A. (1978). Outdoor temperatures and office workers' comfort. *Ergonomics*, *21*(9), 745-750.

Ittelson, W. H. (1973). Environment perception and contemporary perceptual theory. In W. H. Ittelson (Ed.), *Environment and cognition* (pp. 1-19). Seminar Press.

Kaplan, S., & Kaplan, R. (1982). *Cognition and environment: Functioning in an uncertain world*. Praeger.

Kellert, S. R., & Calabrese, E. F. (2015). The practice of biophilic design. *Biophilic Design*, 1-12.

Kellert, S. R., & Heerwagen, J. H. (2008). Biophilic design: Understanding the human-nature connection. In S. R. Kellert, J. H. Heerwagen, & M. Mador (Eds.), *Biophilic design: The theory, science, and practice of bringing buildings to life* (pp. 3-20). John Wiley & Sons.

Klepeis, N. E., Nelson, W. C., Ott, W. R., Swierat, R. E., Yost, M. G., & Tucker, E. W. (2001). The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): A resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. *Journal of Exposure Analysis and Environmental Epidemiology*, *11*(3), 231-252.

Knudsen, V. O., & Harris, C. M. (1978). *Acoustical designing in architecture*. Acoustical Society of America.

Kraut, R. E., Scherlis, W., Mukhopadhyay, T., Manning, J., & Kiesler, S. (1998). The homeNet field trial of residential Internet service. *Communications of the ACM*, *41*(10), 55-63.

Lan, L., Lian, Z., & Pan, L. (2011). The effects of air temperature on office workers' well-being, workload and productivity – evaluation in field studies. *Applied Ergonomics*, *42*(6), 809-815.

Lawrence, R. J. (1982). Privacy and the social use of space in housing. *Architectural Science Review*, *25*(2), 43-52.

Levermore, G. J. (2000). *Building energy management systems*. Newnes.

Lynch, K. (1960). *The image of the city*. MIT Press.

Mace, R. (1998). Universal design in housing. *Housing and Society*, *25*(1-2), 15-21.

McCoy, J. M. (2005). Linking the physical environment of schools to student and teacher outcomes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *25*(2), 149-163.

Mills, E. (2006). A meta-analysis of the literature on the impact of energy-efficient lighting on productivity. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *26*(4), 284-295.

Nasar, J. L. (1994). Urban design aesthetics: The evaluative qualities of cities. *Environment and Behavior*, *26*(3), 377-401.

Oldenburg, R. (1999). *The great good place: Cafes, coffee shops, bookstores, bars, hair salons, and other hangouts at the heart of a community*. Da Capo Press.

O'Neill, M. J. (1991). Wayfinding in architecture. In E. H. Zube & G. W. Moore (Eds.), *Advances in environment, behavior, and design* (Vol. 3, pp. 147-167). Plenum Press.

Parsons, K. C. (2003). *Human thermal environment* (2nd ed.). Taylor & Francis.

Passini, R. (1984). Wayfinding in complex buildings. *Environment and Behavior*, *16*(5), 675-690.

Patterson, I., & Chapman, N. (2008). Designing for dementia. *Building Research & Information*, *36*(3), 229-241.

Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.

Rapoport, A. (1982). The meaning of the built environment. Sage.

Rea, M. S. (Ed.). (2000). *The IESNA lighting*

handbook: Reference & application (9th ed.). Illuminating Engineering Society of North America.

Reiter, R. J. (1991). Pineal melatonin: Cell biology of its synthesis and of its physiological interactions. *Endocrine Reviews*, *12*(2), 151-180.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, *55*(1), 68-78.

Saelens, B. E., Sallis, J. F., & Frank, L. D. (2003). Environmental correlates of walking and cycling: Findings from the Twin Cities walking study. *Urban Studies*, *40*(9), 1637-1651.

Sanders, M. S., & McCormick, E. J. (1993). *Human factors in engineering and design* (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Sanoff, H. (2000). *Community participation methods in design and planning*. John Wiley & Sons.

Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Seppänen, O., & Fisk, W. J. (2006). Meta-analysis of the effects of indoor environment on work performance. *Indoor Air*, *16*(6), 442-457.

Sinopoli, B., Schenato, L., Cortes, J., Stephen, H. L., & Sastry, S. S. (2010). Cyber-physical systems: Computation, control, and networking meets the physical world. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *99*(1), 113-132.

Sommer, R. (1969). *Personal space: The behavioral basis of design*. Prentice-Hall.

Stichler, J. F. (2001). Evidence-based design to improve healthcare outcomes. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, *15*(3), 83-92.

Straube, J., & Burnett, E. (2011). *Building science for building enclosures*. Building Science Press.

Sundell, J., Levin, H., Nazaroff, W. W., Cain, W. S., Fisk, W. J., Grimsrud, D. T., Gyntelberg, F., Li, Y., Persily, A., Pickering, A. C., & Samet, J. M. (2011). Ventilation rates and health: multidisciplinary review of the scientific literature. *Indoor Air*, *21*(3), 191-226.

Sundstrom, E. (1986). Work places: The psychology of the physical environment in offices and factories. In D. Stokols & I. Altman (Eds.), *Handbook of environmental psychology* (Vol. 1, pp. 733-782). John Wiley & Sons.

Ulrich, R. S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science*, *224*(4647), 420-421.

Ulrich, R. S., Simons, R. F., Losito, B. D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M. A., & Zelson, F. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *11*(3), 201-230.

Vale, B., & Vale, R. (2013). *Time to eat the dog?: The real guide to sustainable living*. Thames & Hudson.

Wickens, C. D., Gordon, S. E., & Liu, Y. (2015). *An introduction to human factors engineering* (2nd ed.). Pearson.

Wilson, J. R. (2014). Fundamentals of systems ergonomics/human factors. *Applied Ergonomics*, *45*(3), 549-553.

Wolkoff, P. (2018). Indoor air pollutants in office environments: Assessment of VOCs and their sources. *Indoor Air*, *28*(2), 175-192.

Yu, Z., Fung, B. C. M., Kevin, Q. L., & Tam, V. W. Y. (2010). A review of building energy management systems for demand response in smart grids. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *14*(9), 2925-2935.